

| # | Principles | Options | | | | |
|-------|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | Central Translation | Standardise Head End Services | Single Head End with Common Services | Suppliers (or their agent) Provide Data Services | Supplier Configures Meter / Head End on COS |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | Over-arching customer experience | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | Quick to deliver | Jul-12 | 2013+? | UHE July 12, MHE Jan 13 | Apr-12 | Jul-12 |
| 3 | Deliver net economic benefit to suppliers | 2 | 1 | UHE July 2, MHE Jan 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | Easy to integrate and operationalise for suppliers | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | Does not undermine enduring arrangements | ? | ? | ? | 3 | 3 |
| 6 | Commercial terms which are fair to all and transparent | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 7 | Minimal change to/impact on existing central industry infrastructure, dataflows, processes and non-supplier participant systems (linked to 3) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 8 | One participant cannot prejudice (or be prejudiced by) the interim arrangements | DNF | DNF | DNF | 2 | 3 |
| 9 | Interim Arrangements must be robust to the aggregated volumes of metering systems set in suppliers' roll-out plans. | DNF | DNF | DNF | 1 | 1 |
| Total | | | | | | |

Log dates - in this

* significant concerns raised especially with service providers having unfair competitive advantage in tendering for enduring arrangements

| | |
|---|--|
| Assessment criteria for #1 1 - Can't switch supplier 2 - Meter goes back to dumb 3 - AMR and IHD info 4 - Loss of subset of A-H funct. 5 - Delivers full A-H funct. | Assessment criteria for 2-9 1 - High Risk 2 - Medium Risk 3 - Low Risk |
|---|--|