

## DCG SG2 Meeting 6 Minutes

Minutes of the sixth meeting of DCG Subgroup 2.	From Date and time of Meeting Location	DCG_SG2II 11:15am, 20 October 2010 Ofgem	25 October 2010
---	---	---	-----------------

#### 1. Present

Name	Company
Alastair Bates	АМО
Andrew Beasley	Utilita
Chris Spence	EDF Energy
Dora Guzeleva (Chair)	Ofgem
Genevieve Bishop	Ofgem
Jamie Dunnett	Scottish Power
Jason Brogden	ERA (Engage-consulting)
Lisa Harris	Shell
Liz Kenny	RWE NPower
Mark Knight	SSE
Nigel Nash	Ofgem
Rosie McGlynn	British Gas
Steve James	Eon-UK

### 2. Draft Minutes and Action Log

2.1. The subgroup agreed to review the minutes for the previous meeting (5) and provide comments before the next meeting. DCC will circulate the amended minutes to the group and publish.

# **3.** Page turn of the Interim Interoperability Implementation Information Request

3.1. The Information request is currently undergoing legal review.

3.2. The group discussed and made various editorial changes and updated the options outlined in the Information Request.

Actions:

- The information request will be amended by the ERA and provided to Ofgem by midday Friday 22 October
- Another sub option to be developed by EDFE and circulated to the group by midday Thursday 21 October
- Ofgem to finalise the information request and circulate to relevant parties by COB on Friday 22 October
- Update the spreadsheet

#### 4. Options qualitative pros and cons discussion

4.1. An evaluation table of options against qualitative criteria was presented to the group for discussion and population. A high level assessment was conducted by the group.

#### 5. Assessment of the degree of the sub-optimality of each option

5.1. A table of options to assess the degree of sub-optimality was presented to the group for discussion and this was populated by the group.

#### 6. AOB

#### 7. Next Meeting

7.1. Wednesday 27 October 2010



## Minutes

### 8. Issues Log

8.1. The following issues were logged at the meeting:

Ref	Date Raised	Raised by	Description of Issue	Impact	Impact date	Priority (H, M, L)	Action Required	Issue Owner (programme / project/ workstream)	Action Taken	Date Updated	Status
1001	15.09.10	DCDD SG2	Should the consumer be made aware that their meter could be removed from the wall if it is not compliant? To be considered as part of the development of the Code of Practice.					SMDG			
1002	15.09.10	DCDD _SG2	As a requirement, the customer will decide who has access to their historical data. SMDG to consider the technical issues around this requirement. This is to be added as a principle in the Requirements paper.								
1003	22.09.10	DCDD _SG2	Consider standard messaging protocols for meter types to Head Ends, and refer to the European work on these issues.								
1004	22.09.10	DCDD _SG2	Technical Q: Can two suppliers technically have access to the same WAN module at the same time?				Provide answer to question				

## 9. Follow up Actions

Ref No	Date Raised	Action Dat		Action Owner	Date Updated	Status
A001	15.09.10	Minute ref: 7.6: Provide the Group members the amended matrix for them to use during their assessment.		Liz Kenny (RWE Npower)		
A002	15.09.10	Minute ref: 7.6: Group members to provide assessment of Options 2, 3, 5 and 6 from their perspective against the principles and requirements, as well as assessment of the option		DCGSG2 Members		
A003	22.09.10	Minute ref: 2.4: Produce an updated scoring of Options against Principles and Requirements with notes on assessment and distribute this to the subgroup.	27.09.10	BG and SP.		
	29.09.10	Update minutes from comments: 4.17 (iii) consumption info through IHD; 3.7 IT provider may be needed; Include Rosie's text on the procurement discussion; 4.1 – rationale for grouping 1-3 was that they require the creation of a new interim central service.		DG	04.10.10	Closed
	29.09.10	Consolidate paper and add appropriate sections (as above)		JB		
	29.09.10 29.09.10	Provide comments to Chris Spence on his paper Consider the governance options again and to identify		All Subgroup members All		

#### Minutes

	the preferred governance/implementation options	Subgroup	
		members	
		All	
	Consider whether we need JPW-like contracts in Option	Subgroup	
29.09.10	5 to provide consistency/robustness	members	
	Draft and deliver security presentation	Ofgem/Jo	
29.09.10		e Hancock	