

ESP Connections Ltd, Shippers and other parties.

Direct Dial: 020 7901 7491

Email: modifications@ofgem.gov.uk

13 January 2006

Dear Colleague,

Modification Proposal ESPC037: 'Inclusion of incident communications governance within Network Code'.

Ofgem<sup>1</sup> has considered the issues raised by this modification proposal and has decided to direct ESP Connections Ltd (ESPC)<sup>2</sup> to implement the proposal as we believe that it will better facilitate the relevant objectives of ESPC's Network Code as defined in standard condition 9 of its GT Licence.

### **Background**

Gas Transporters have certain obligations under regulations such as the Gas Safety (Management) Regulations 1996 (GS(M)R) and the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995 (RIDDOR), relating to reporting of emergency incidents covered by those regulations. However, detailed operational procedures on how such incidents will be communicated to shippers are not prescribed in these regulations and have hitherto been contained largely in non-binding procedural documentation.

On 20 November 2003 Ofgem approved modification 649³ to the Transco Network Code, which has since been replaced by the Uniform Network Code (UNC). The effect of this modification, which was implemented with effect 1 October 2005, was to reference and give contractual effect to certain provisions within external documentation produced in line with the above regulations. This documentation was subsequently published as the 'Schedule for Shipper Communications in Incidents of CO Poisoning, Gas Fire/Explosions and Local Gas Supply Emergency<sup>A</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ofgem is the Office of the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority. The terms 'Ofgem' and the 'Authority' are used interchangeably in this letter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The licence and Network Code of British Gas Connections Limited (BGCL) were acquired as part of the purchase of that business by Kellen Venture Ltd earlier this year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Modification proposal 649: 'Referencing incident notification within Network Code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available at: www.gasgovernance.com/docs/COandFireExplosioncomms.doc

## **The Modification Proposal**

This proposal seeks to introduce specific obligations onto ESPC in its Network Code, similar to those introduced into the Uniform Network Code. It is proposed that where the GT becomes aware of a loss of supply incident upon its Network which affects more than 50 premises, it will inform Users, provide ongoing updates where the incident is prolonged and provide a final notice once this incident has been rectified, all within specified timescales.

# Respondents' Views

ESPC received five responses to its consultation on this proposal, all of which were in support of its implementation. Respondents generally felt that the implementation of this proposal would provide greater clarity, assisting Users with their planning for loss of supply incidents and their ability to manage communications with consumers.

#### **ESPC's Views**

ESPC states that in principle it is supportive of the proposal. ESPC considers that the proposal sets out a reasonable practice for the management of information to shippers, and adds that it seems reasonable for these procedures to be formalised. ESPC also considers that this proposal fits with its policy of seeking to align its procedures with those contains in the Uniform Network Code wherever possible.

ESPC has also taken the view that the procedures themselves should not be contained in the body of its Network Code but should be contained in a separate document, to be entitled 'Shipper Incident Communication Procedure'.

However, ESPC does raise concerns about its ability to implement this proposal at the present time, given the current uncertainty around the emergency cover to be provided to independent Gas Transporters (iGT) following the expiry of existing contracts with Distribution Network (DN) operators on 31 March 2006. ESPC states that as an iGT it is dependent upon the provision of emergency cover under contract from the incumbent DN, and does not consider it is able to commit to the provision of information within the timescales provided in this proposal.

ESPC is also concerned that it may not be possible to obtain the precise identification of the relevant pipeline in the timescales required in an emergency incident, potentially resulting in shippers obtaining a duplicate notification of an incident, from both it and the relevant DN. ESPC is concerned that this may lead to greater confusion for the shipper.

## Ofgem's Views

Ofgem understand that the intent of the proposal is essentially to codify the communications that a shipper would reasonably expect a GT to make in the event of a loss of supply incident. It is anticipated that this will increase certainty, allowing shippers and suppliers to plan with greater confidence and otherwise manage their customer relationships more effectively.

Ofgem acknowledges that ESPP, along with other iGTs, is currently in a period of uncertainty with respect to emergency service provisions, given that the contractual arrangements for these services are currently being renegotiated with the respective Distribution Network Operators. Ofgem is itself concerned to ensure that consumers'

interests are not detrimentally affected by the expiry of the existing contracts, and is currently consulting upon a proposal to introduce a special licence condition which would allow it to issue a direction obliging a DN to provide emergency services to a GT within its specified area $^5$ .

Given the above, Ofgem also has some sympathy with the concerns raised by ESPC, that it may be beholden to third parties to inform it of such incidents in the first place, via currently unknown means. However, the proposal seems to offer sufficient flexibility, stating that the obligation to provide notification commences from the time ESPP receives notification itself (by whatever source). It is also specified in the proposal that the 'Incident Date' and 'Incident Start Time' will be deemed to commence when the information is received by ESPC. Ofgem also notes the potential for shippers to be notified of incidents by both ESPC and the incumbent DN. However, Ofgem considers that this is an issue that shippers should be able to manage, and is far preferable to the risk of them not being informed at all.

Ofgem considers that matters of implementation, including the production of the 'Shipper Incident Communication Procedure' document referred to in the legal text, are largely for ESPC to resolve. Whilst ESPC is obligated by Standard Condition 9 of its GT licence to comply with any direction given by the Authority to make a modification to its Network Code, this need not be with immediate effect and can reasonably allow for an appropriate period within which to resolve any implementation issues.

### Ofgem's decision

Having considered the issues raised by this modification proposal Ofgem has decided to direct ESPC to implement modification proposal 037, as it will contribute to the efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system and to the fulfilment of the relevant code objectives, particularly c) the securing of effective competition between relevant shippers and between relevant suppliers.

If you have any further queries regarding this decision letter please feel free to contact Ofgem at: <a href="mailto:modifications@ofgem.gov.uk">modifications@ofgem.gov.uk</a>.

Yours sincerely

**Nick Simpson** 

Director, Industry Codes and Licensing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Emergency Services Arrangements provided by Distribution Networks to Independent Gas Transporters: Initial Proposals, December 2005