## TMO4+ Package of Reforms Response Template

## We are welcoming views on the detailed proposed package of reforms and will consider information and stakeholder feedback further before making a final decision. We would therefore be grateful for responses that consider both the policy objectives and assessments outlined in the various documents.

## Please note this template is for the Consultation TMO4+ Reform Proposals: Code Modifications, Methodologies and the Impact Assessment. There is a separate response template for the Statutory Consultation on Licences Changes which can also be found in the subsidiary documents.

Respondent details

Contact name:

Role title:

Company name:

Company type:

Telephone number:

Email address:

Date of submission:

Do you want your response treated as confidential? Please choose the option that is relevant to you.

Yes / No Click or tap here to enter text.

Please tell us if parts of your responses or your whole response contains confidential information and explain why below.

[Write your response here]

### Package of reforms

1. Do you consider that the TMO4+ reforms as a whole advance the objectives that we identify? Do you support the TMO4+ package of reforms as a whole? Please feel free to cross-re*fer to answers provided in response to questions on individual elements of the reforms, as set out below.*

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

### Minded-to Decisions – Code Modifications

#### CMP434

1. Do you agree with our minded-to position to approve WACM7 of CMP434?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. Do you expect the Pause for market self-regulation and information published in the Gate 2 Register would lead to a different approach taken by projects?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. Do you have any further remarks, comments or concerns with our minded-to position that you would like us to take into account?

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

#### CMP 435

1. Do you agree with our minded-to position to approve WACM1 of CMP435?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. Do you expect the Pause for market self-regulation and information published in the EA Register would lead to a different approach taken by projects?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. Do you have any further remarks, comments or concerns with our minded-to position that you would like us to take into account?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

#### CM 095

1. Do you agree with our minded-to position to approve the Original Proposal?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. Do you have any further remarks, comments or concerns with our minded-to position?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

### Minded-to Decisions – Connections Methodologies

1. Do you agree with our assessment, conclusions, and Minded-to Decision to approve the three Connections Methodologies?

Please consider in your response our assessment against the proposed objectives for each Methodology as consulted on as part of the licence changes.

If you do not agree, please share your views on (a) the objectives you think the Methodology does not meet and (b) the changes you think are needed to better facilitate the proposed objectives.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

### Impact Assessment

1. Do you agree that we have, to a reasonable extent, identified and understood the potential impacts of TMO4+, including in particular the impacts on size and makeup of the queue and network build and connection dates?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. Do you agree that we have, to a reasonable extent, captured and understood the potential impacts of TMO4+ on different user types, including generation, storage and demand customers across transmission and distribution, as well as consumers, NESO and network companies?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. If you are a developer who has one or more connection agreements that may be affected by TMO4+, do you have feedback on how your contract may be affected and what impact this would have on your business?

Please provide as much detail as possible (including confidentially if desired), including as to the likelihood of being affected (positively or adversely); the reasons for this (e.g. opportunities for acceleration, failure to meet Gate 2 Criteria); and the extent of any likely or potential financial or other impact.

[Write your response here]

1. Do you agree that we have, to a reasonable extent, identified and understood all the potential costs of implementing TMO4+?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. Have we, as accurately as possible, identified and understood all the potential benefits of implementing TMO4+?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]

1. Are there any unintended consequences of TMO4+ that we have not identified?

Please provide the reasons for your answer.

Yes/ No Click or tap here to enter text.

[Write your response here]