# GD3 and GT3 and Atypical Non-Load, Non-Lead Interventions EJP Template:

Summary Table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title Section** |  |
| **Name of Scheme** | Working title of the Scheme.  *This will be a meaningful name that relates to the investment proposed.* |
| **Investment Driver** | Non-typical Non-Load Interventions  *Where there are multiple drivers, licensee should provide the list of works. In particular costs will be disaggregated and aligned to the drivers of works.* |
| **BPDT/Scheme Reference Number** | This will include the licensees own referencing system.  *This will provide interaction with other projects which licensees are developing through their portfolio papers*. |
| **Outputs** | Please list all outputs to be delivered. |
| **Cost** | This is the total cost of the preferred option(s)  *This includes all cross-period funding requirements.* |
| **Delivery Year** | The year the scheme will be completed.  *If this is a staged programmed with multiple ACL dates, please provide the list and associated circuits which are commissioned.* |
| **Applicable Reporting Tables** | *Please list all applicable tables which have this scheme, including volumes and costs. Embedded tables allowed.* |
| **Historic Funding interactions** | Pease detail all interactive (either as direct named assets, or as portfolios) funding provided for works in RIIO 1 and/or RIIO 2.  Please highlight if there have been any deferrals of works |
| **Interactive Projects** | Please detail any projects which are directly interactive with this investment.  Where applicable include any project references from the BDPT. |

*We expect the Spend apportionment table below to be merged with the summary table above, but have included separately for accessibility purposes.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Spend Apportionment (£m)** |  |
| **RIIO-2** |  |
| **RIIO-3** |  |
| **RIIO-4** |  |

1. Introduction (Expected word count - 1500):
   1. *A succinct summary of the detail contained within the main text of the document as listed below.*
   2. *Will address the following as a minimum:*
      1. *Background to Investment*
      2. *Identification of primary driver(s)*
      3. *Timing of investment*
      4. *Uncertainties expected to be experienced*
      5. *Outputs and delivery year*
2. Background Information
   1. *Narrative (expected word count – 1000)*
   2. *Summary of the context for the IT&T or Property investment – its scope and purpose as evidenced by the business capabilities enabled / provided. This context should position whether this is an existing, enhanced or completely new solution area.*
   3. *On projects which are not interactive with the network, we expect proportionate development of the need case. Appendices may be used for larger volumes of information.*
3. Optioneering
   1. *Narrative (expected word count – 1000 when completing design table, 2000 when not completing design table)*
      1. *This should contain a summary description of all options considered including do nothing options (Functional scope variants (if applicable), architectural variants (if applicable), Do nothing).*
   2. *A table holding differentiating details for each option should be produced. The table should allow a “side by side” comparison of the key aspects for each option. The table must include, as a minimum, the following information.* 
      1. *Sensible option title which helps to describe the scope. Avoid labelling options 1/2/3/4/5 etc.*
      2. *Differential benefits*
      3. *Differential disbenefits e.g. loss of compliance, increased cyber insecurity*
      4. *Differential relative cost comparison*
   3. *If the table layout is not sufficient to explain the differential characteristics of the options then supporting paragraphs should be used.*
4. *Preferred option*
   1. *This section should summarise the preferred option and is included to avoid ambiguity in the conclusions of the paper*
      1. *This section should state the benefits that will result from use of the IT&T or Property investment, focusing on the external outcome rather than the benefit to internal users*
5. Cost
   1. *Narrative supporting cost drivers (expected word count - 1000)*
   2. *Scope overview (no word count)*
6. Deliverability
   1. *Narrative (Expected word count - 1000)*
   2. *This section should contain a simple spend profile detailing when the interventions for the selected option are expected to take place. To give the reader confidence that the spend being requested is achievable during the RIIO-3 price control.*
   3. *Where delivery is over multiple years, use of a roadmap diagram is encouraged to help understanding of the delivery profile of the investment.*
   4. *Previous funding overview (no word count)*
      1. *This includes any assets recently intervened on the site within the last 2 regulatory periods, including the cost and short scope description.*
   5. *Programme*
7. Conclusion
   1. *Overview*
   2. *Control Request (if required)*

# Appendices:

* 1. *Relevant drawings*
  2. *Cost breakdown/further information (as required)*
  3. *Other Supporting Information*