



Strategic Innovation Fund (SIF) Round 2 Innovation Challenges – Beta Phase Funding Decision and Summary of Recommendations from Expert Assessors









## Contents

Int	roduction	4			
1	Round 2 Summary6				
2	Assessm	ent Process9			
2	.1 Mee	ting the SIF Eligibility Criteria10			
3	SIF Beta	Phase – [Supporting a Just Energy Transition Summary] - Summary13			
4	Expert A	ssessors Recommendations [Supporting a Just Energy Transition]14			
	4.1.1	10126476, VIVID: Vulnerability Identification Via Informative Data14			
	4.1.2	10126613, Fairer Warmth Hub19			
	4.1.3	10127935, SHIELD			
5	SIF Beta	Phase – [Preparing for a Net Zero Power System] - Summary			
6	Expert A	ssessors Recommendations [Preparing for a Net Zero Power System]			
	6.1.1	10120718, Artificial Forecasting			
	6.1.2	10121485, Powering Wales Renewably			
	6.1.3	10128096, SIF Black Start Demonstration from Offshore Wind (SIF BLADE)45			
7	SIF Beta	Phase – [Improving Energy System Resilience and Robustness] - Summary .50			
8 Roł	Expert oustness]	Assessors Recommendations [Improving Energy System Resilience and53			
	8.1.1	10117736, SF6 Whole Life Strategy53			
	8.1.2	10117774, D-Suite57			
	8.1.3 (WELLNE	10119039, Whole Electricity System Resilience Vulnerability Assessment 62			
	8.1.4	10121136, Digital Inspector			
	8.1.5	10121486, Scenarios for Extreme Events75			
	8.1.6	10123593, NextGen Electrolysis – Wastewater to Green Hydrogen80			
	8.1.7	10123649, Multi Resilience85			
	8.1.8	10124630, REACT91			
	8.1.9 and rapi	10126543, Connected & Autonomous grid aerial survey, inspection, monitoring d response (CAGSIMR)95			
	8.1.10	10127702, Phased Switch System101			
	8.1.11	10127933, CReDo+106			
	8.1.12	10127934, Connectrolyser 110			
9	SIF Beta 117	Phase – [Accelerating decarbonisation of major energy demands] - Summary			
10 der	Experinands]	t Assessors Recommendations [Accelerating decarbonisation of major energy			
	10.1.1	10117383, Flexible Railway Energy Hubs119			
	10.1.2	10120244, Planning Regional Infrastructure in a Digital Environment 123			
	10.1.3	10120715, Inform127			

10.1.4	10123810, Local Energy Oxfordshire – Neighbourhoods (LEO-N) Beta Pha	se131
10.1.5	10127928, Heat Risers	135
10.1.6	10127929, Park & Flex	139
10.1.7	10127930, Watt Heat	144
10.1.8	10127932, Heatropolis	149

## Introduction

Innovation will play a crucial role in delivering best value to energy consumers. Innovation will prepare the regulated energy network companies to deliver Net-Zero greenhouse gas emissions at lowest cost to consumers, while maintaining world-class levels of system reliability and customer service.

The SIF was introduced within the RIIO-2 price control by Ofgem to support network innovations that contribute to the achievement of Net-Zero while delivering real benefits to network consumers. The SIF is being delivered in partnership with Innovate UK (part of UKRI), who are administering the SIF and are working to coordinate innovation activities funded by network consumers with other innovation funded programmes.

For each round of the SIF, new Innovation Challenges are launched focusing on strategic issues currently facing gas and electricity networks. Round 2<sup>1</sup> of the SIF, was launched in May 2022 and focuses on four Innovation Challenges:

- 1. Supporting a just energy transition
- 2. Preparing for a net zero power system
- 3. Improving energy system resilience and robustness
- 4. Accelerating decarbonisation of major energy demands

The SIF adopts a three Phase Project approach within each round to mitigate the risk associated with innovation: Discovery Phase, Alpha Phase and Beta Phase. The Discovery Phase focuses on feasibility, the Alpha Phase on experimental development, and the Beta Phase on deployment and demonstration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Find the four Innovation Challenges launched for Round 2 here: <u>https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/strategic-innovation-fund-round-two-innovation-challenges</u>

As set out in the SIF Governance Document<sup>2</sup>, Round 2 of the SIF is open to the Electricity System Operator, Electricity Transmission, Electricity Distribution<sup>3</sup>, Gas Transmission and Gas Distribution licensees.

This report is for the Round 2 Beta Phase. It sets out the Funding Decision and Summary of Recommendations from Expert Assessors for Ofgem's consideration for Projects which submitted an Application for the Round 2 Beta Phase and met the Eligibility Criteria set out in the SIF Governance Document and the Innovation Challenge-specific requirements outlined in the Round 2 Innovation Challenges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The SIF Governance Document can be found here: <u>https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/decision/updated-sif-governance-document</u>

### 1 Round 2 Summary

Four Innovation Challenges were launched in May 2022 for Round 2 of the SIF<sup>4</sup>. For Round 3, the Innovation Challenges focus on specified areas that are key to achieving key sectoral targets over the next decade, such as delivering a Net-Zero power system by 2035. The Round 2 Innovation Challenges are:

- 1. Supporting a just energy transition
- 2. Preparing for a net zero power system
- 3. Improving energy system resilience and robustness
- 4. Accelerating decarbonisation of major energy demands

The four Innovation Challenges were developed through extensive collaboration and consultation with a wide range of stakeholders and interested bodies, including energy network companies, other innovators and entrepreneurs, government and academia.

The key underlying principles established to prioritise these challenges have been:

- Strategic: innovations are required to meet national and devolved Net Zero targets effectively.
- Network relevant: innovation needs and solutions that can be taken forward or materially supported by energy networks.
- Timely: the challenge should focus on problem areas where solutions can be scaled up to meet the requisite Net Zero targets and commitments. 2035 was used as a target year for identifying challenges for Round 2.
- Scope: the scope of Innovation Challenge complements and does not duplicate other UK innovation programmes (including other network innovation funding mechanisms).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Find the four Innovation Challenges launched for Round 2 here: <u>https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/strategic-innovation-fund-round-two-innovation-challenges</u>

Within each of the Innovation Challenges are specific requirements on scope and partner requirements. Projects submitted to the SIF must meet these specific requirements and must follow the SIF Governance Document<sup>5</sup>.

In April 2023, over £6.1m was awarded to 53 Projects for the Discovery Phase of Round 2<sup>6</sup>. In October 2023, over £16m was awarded to 36 Projects for the Alpha Phase of Round 2<sup>7</sup>. This report offers recommendations on which of the completed Round 2 Discovery Phase and Alpha Phase Projects should continue to be funded in the Beta Phase. Additionally, following a consultation and decision from Ofgem, Projects from outside the SIF which did not complete a Discovery and Alpha Phase Project were eligible to submit directly to the Round 2 Beta Phase. In Round 2 Beta Phase, a total of 27 Applications were submitted.

For the Round 2 Beta Phase, Projects start from 1 September 2024, can last up to five years, and can request SIF Funding greater than £500,000. Prospective Beta Applications seeking more than £10,000,000 were required to provide justification to Innovate UK and Ofgem prior to the Beta Phase Application period close of 22 May 2024.

Applications submitted to the Round 2 Beta Phase by the 22 May 2024 deadline, and which met the Innovation Challenge-specific requirements, were assessed by Expert Assessors. The Expert Assessors are external appointees whose recommendations inform Ofgem's decision-making on the selection of Projects for SIF Funding. The Expert Assessors have relevant expertise and knowledge on the respective Innovation Challenges and/or the energy sector, including in areas such as policy and regulation, commercial, financial, and technical. Consistent with the requirements of the SIF Governance Document<sup>8</sup>, the Expert Assessors have assessed each Application with reference to (a) its compatibility with the Eligibility

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The SIF Governance Document is available here: <u>https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/updated-sif-governance-document</u>
<sup>6</sup> Round 2 Discovery Projects are available here: <u>https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/strategic-innovation-fund-round-2-discovery-projects-approved-funding</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Round 2 Alpha Projects are available here: <u>https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/strategic-innovation-fund-round-2-alpha-projects-approved-funding</u>

Criteria in chapter 2, and (b) taking into consideration any additional and relevant information available to the Expert Assessors.

As part of each Application assessment, the Expert Assessors also considered whether Projects should receive all the SIF Funding requested for the Beta Phase, partial funding, or no funding at all.

The overall funding recommendation summarised in this report is based upon a balance of considerations taking into account whether a Project has met each of the SIF Eligibility Criteria, suitability of the Project for SIF funding, the total mean Expert Assessor score achieved against the Application questions, any Project-specific conditions recommended by Expert Assessors, and wider concerns or opportunities identified by the Expert Assessors. For more information on how Projects are assessed by the Expert Assessors, please see the Assessment Process below.

This report is a consolidation of the Applications assessed by the Expert Assessors and sets out recommendations from the Expert Assessors to Ofgem on which Projects have met the Eligibility Criteria and should be considered for SIF Funding in the Round 2 Beta Phase of the SIF. Ofgem, taking into the account the Expert Assessors' assessment and recommendations, is the sole decision-maker for the SIF.

### 2 Assessment Process

For the Round 2 Beta Phase there is a maximum of five stages to assess eligible submitted Applications:

- Initial sift completed by Innovate UK to confirm whether an Application complies with the Innovation Challenge-specific requirements<sup>9</sup>.
- Expert Assessor evaluation Each Expert Assessor assesses and scores questions 3-9, 11, 13 and 14 of each Application and the accompanying appendices. These questions tie directly to the Eligibility Criteria outlined in chapter 2 of the SIF Governance Document. Each Expert Assessor includes their assessment of how and why an Application has met or not met each Eligibility Criteria and an overall comment for each Application assessed.
- Expert Assessors' overall recommendation As part of their assessment, each Expert Assessor provides an overall recommendation on whether the Application and Project should be considered for SIF Funding in the Beta Phase. This decision is made based on an assessment on whether the majority of Expert Assessors consider that each of the Eligibility Criteria has been met and a consideration of any serious risk or opportunity in respect of an Application. Applications will be recommended for SIF Funding if they have a majority of Expert Assessors recommending it (two of the three Expert Assessors who assessed an Application), no significant risks are identified which could prevent the Project from progressing, and the majority of Expert Assessors on each Project consider it to have met each of the Eligibility Criteria outlined in chapter 2 of the SIF Governance Document.
- Recommended Project-specific conditions Should an Expert Assessor identify an area for additional consideration or clarity for a Project recommended for SIF Funding during the Beta Phase, the Expert Assessor may recommend a Projectspecific condition be included. In many cases these have been offered as ways of strengthening the Project outcomes and their inclusion does not necessarily reflect a weakness in the Application. The recommended Project-specific conditions are then considered by Ofgem and finalised with any modifications in each of the successful Projects' Project Direction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For more information on the Innovation Challenge-specific requirements please see: <u>https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/strategic-innovation-fund-round-two-innovation-challenges</u>

 Final decision – The consolidated recommendations report is provided to Ofgem for consideration on which of the Applications should be considered for SIF Funding in the Round 2 Beta Phase. Having taken into account the Expert Assessors' report, the Authority will decide which Projects should receive SIF Funding.

## 2.1 Meeting the SIF Eligibility Criteria

Projects submitted must meet all the Eligibility Criteria outlined in chapter 2 of the SIF Governance Document in order to be considered for SIF Funding. There are eight Eligibility Criteria which must be evidenced within an Application. The following table outlines how the scored questions tie with the Eligibility Criteria outlined in the SIF Governance Document.

Question	Application	Eligibility Criteria (chapter 2 of the SIF
number	Question	Governance Document)
1	Lead Network	(not scored)
2	Animal Testing	(not scored)
3	Solution statement	Eligibility Criterion 1: Projects must address the
	and solution focus	Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.
4	Innovation	Eligibility Criterion 1: Projects must address the
	justification	Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.
		Eligibility Criterion 3: Projects must involve network
		innovation.
		Eligibility Criterion 5: Projects must be innovative,
		novel or risky.
5	Impacts and	Eligibility Criterion 2: Projects must have clearly
	benefits selection	identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or

		electricity consumers (whomever is paying for the
		innovation).
6	Impacts and	Eligibility Criterion 2: Projects must have clearly
	benefits description	identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or
		electricity consumers (whomever is paying for the
		innovation).
7	Team and	Eligibility Criterion 6: Projects must include
	resources	participation from a range of stakeholders.
8	Project	Eligibility Criterion 8: Projects must be well thought
	management and	through and have a robust methodology so that
	delivery	they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.
9	Key outputs and	Eligibility Criterion 4: Projects must not undermine
	dissemination	the development of competitive markets.
10	Intellectual	(not scored)
	Property Rights	
	(IPR), procurement	
	and contracting	
11	Commercialization	Eligibility Critorian 4. Projects must not undermine
		Eligibility Criterion 4: Projects must not undermine
		the development of competitive markets.
	and business as	
	usual	
12	Policy, standards	(not scored)
	and regulations	
13	Consumer impact	Eligibility Criterion 7: Projects must provide value
	and engagement	for money and be costed competitively.
14	Value for Money	Eligibility Criterion 7: Projects must provide value
		for money and be costed competitively.

15	Associated Network	(not scored)
	Innovation	
	Project(s)	





## 3 SIF Beta Phase – [Supporting a Just Energy Transition Summary] - Summary

This section covers the assessment of Round 2 Beta Phase Applications received into the 'Supporting a Just Energy Transition' Innovation Challenge.

For the Beta Phase, 3 Applications were submitted to Innovate UK through the Innovation Funding Service (IFS) portal by the closing deadline of 22 May 2024 and are listed below.

Project reference number	Project name	Funding licensee	Total Project costs (£)	Total Project contribution (£)	Total SIF Funding requested (£)	Expert Assessors Recommended for funding (Yes/No)	Ofgem Decision for funding (Yes/No)
10126476	VIVID: Vulnerability Identification Via Informative Data	SHEPD PLC	£ 7,916,814	£ 802,587	£ 7,114,227	Yes	No
10126613	Fairer Warmth Hub	SGN PLC	£ 3,417,905	£ 475,932	£ 2,941,973	Yes	No
10127935	SHIELD	UKPN (Operatio ns) Limited	£ 9,887,287	£ 4,485,955.00	£ 5,401,332	Yes	Yes



# 4 Expert Assessors Recommendations [Supporting a Just Energy Transition]

#### 4.1.1 10126476, VIVID: Vulnerability Identification Via Informative Data

#### **Submitted Project description**

VIVID will be at the forefront of GB vulnerability management by unlocking the potential of data held by the energy industry, local authorities, health and social care partnerships and the third sector.

VIVID will utilise multi-sector data in a highly secure way, to identify households that would benefit from timely and relevant practical and financial support by further developing the Support, Help and Resilience Prioritisation portal (SHaRP), enabling smarter local services and emergency response. Aberdeen is our Pathfinder location for first deployment with expansion planned for two Fast Follower local authority regions, before training Learning Partners and rolling out GB-wide.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have
the Innovation Challenge		addressed the Innovation Challenge because it has
set by Ofgem.		the potential to transform the ability of network
		operators, energy suppliers, local authorities, and
		third-sector organizations to implement a
		multisector data service for better identifying
		vulnerable consumers to support them. These
		endeavours align with the aims of the Innovation
		Challenge, "Supporting a Just Energy Transition" by
		drawing in multiple sectors to improve coordination.

clearly identified potentialclearly identified the potential to deliver a netto deliver a net benefit tobenefit to electricity and gas consumers because thegas or electricitysolution will help to identify vulnerable consumers
to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricitybenefit to electricity and gas consumers because the solution will help to identify vulnerable consumers
gas or electricity solution will help to identify vulnerable consumers
consumers. who might not otherwise be recognised and
develops ways to then provide support to those
consumers. This will enable these consumers to
access more support services or cost savings,
resulting in a net benefit to consumers. Expert
Assessors suggested that the benefits could be
communicated more effectively to Project
stakeholders and consumers and have added a
special condition to support this <del>.</del>
2. Designed and this Designed this Designed to be
3: Projects must involve Met The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation. Involve network innovation because it has developed
a new methodology for combining and using data to
overcome barriers to data sharing and vulnerable
customer identification. The Expert Assessors also
considered this Project to involve network
innovation because it brings together a range of
stakeholders to address consumer vulnerability in a
way that has not been achieved before.
4: Projects must not Met The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project to
undermine the development of competitive markets
development of because there is no solution that currently
competitive markets. adequately captures the information for vulnerable
consumers who are not included in the Priority
Services Register. The Project looks as if it will
complement projects that are developed to enhance
the Priority Services Register. One of the Assessors
considered that there is a degree of risk that the

		Project might undermine competitiveness if they
		didn't share the detailed, comprehensive information
		with Ofgem Consumer Consent and other similar
		Projects. The Expert Assessor recommended that
		the Project should work closely with Ofgem
		Consumer Consent Project and disseminate the
		result widely across the market.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative and risky because it is seeking to
risky.		implement multisector data sharing methods to
		break down barriers that are currently preventing
		identification of some of the most vulnerable
		individuals in society, hence preventing them from
		receiving help and support. Additionally, the Expert
		Assessors considered the Project to be innovative
		because it is attempting to address an area that
		does not appear to be immediately profitable,
		bringing expertise in from different organizations
		that would otherwise have worked in silos, and will
		develop a novel approach to aggregation and
		sharing of potentially highly sensitive personal data.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders for this Eligibility Criterion to be met
		because the Project team has included Project
		Partners with expertise in both technical solutions
		and in community outreach. The sub-contractors
		have strong track records in the delivery of
		community-based programmes.

7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
value for money and be		delivering value for money and costed competitively,
costed competitively.		due to cost efficiency, the potential benefits to the
		vulnerable consumers and positive outcomes.
		Additionally, the Project has evidenced that the
		potential benefits and positive outcomes will scale
		up when the Project rolls out incrementally after an
		initial focus in Aberdeen.
		Two Expert Assessors raised questions that the
		activities allocated to one of the Project Partners will
		add value to the Project and queried whether these
		activities should receive SIF Funding. The Expert
		Assessors recommended that Ofgem should review
		the DCC costs and consider whether the DCC should
		continue to be involved with the Project as a non-
		funded partner in order for the organisation to
		continue to provide insights to the Project.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have
thought through and have		a robust methodology which gives confidence that it
a robust methodology so		will be capable of progressing in a timely manner.
that they are capable of		The documentation provided demonstrates a strong
progressing in a timely		Project management ethos and the work packages
manner.		and associated milestones have been clearly
		described. In addition, the deployment roadmap
		gave the Expert Assessors confidence of a suitable
		pace of progression.

## Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project to effectively address the Innovation Challenge by potentially transforming the ability of network operators, energy suppliers, local authorities, and third sector organisations to implement a multisector data service for identifying vulnerable consumers. The Project has positive feedback on its partnerships and vulnerability criteria, its innovative approach to identifying and supporting vulnerable consumers, and the potential net benefits to gas and electricity consumers. The Project was noted for its methodology in data sharing and stakeholder collaboration, aiming to address consumer vulnerability uniquely. It does not undermine competitive markets but complements existing solutions, such as the Priority Services Register. The Expert Assessors acknowledged the Project's comprehensive stakeholder participation, cost efficiency, and strong Project management, ensuring timely progression and robust methodology.

The Expert Assessors recommended that DCC and CGI must share at earliest opportunity, the consumer consent data sharing methodology and finding with Ofgem and relevant stakeholders.

The Expert Assessors also recommended that Ofgem should review whether SIF funding is the most appropriate route for DCC activity in this Project because they considered DCC activity is focused on their own product development and potentially add less value as the Project will get better data from e-on.

#### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### DO NOT FUND

Ofgem disagrees with the Expert Assessors and have not approved funding for this Project. Ofgem disagrees that the Project meet the essential criterion "Projects must involve network innovation" because the energy suppliers have obligation to identify vulnerable customers on the Priority Service Register (PSR) and share with networks; a sector over-arching PSR with other utilities sharing their PSRs will soon be implemented, therefore similar work is already under way as part of business-as-usual operations. Ofgem also disagrees that the Project meets essential criterion "Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively" because the funding amount is considered disproportionately high for the development an identification model.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

#### 4.1.2 10126613, Fairer Warmth Hub

#### **Submitted Project description**

The Fairer Warmth Hub (FWH), formerly Hy-Fair, connects stakeholders of the Net Zero Transition through place-based strategies, providing tools and guidance to facilitate local energy plans and enhance collaboration. The Hub includes the Fairer Warmth App which reduces miscommunication and aids consumers in vulnerable situations and the digitally excluded with bespoke energy transition support. It consolidates multiple tools and guidance into a single access point, supporting diverse community participants. The FWH aims to establish a scalable, cost-effective framework to accelerate equitable clean energy and infrastructure delivery. Beta Phase will refine the tools, deliver demonstrators and scale the FWH nationally.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to have
the Innovation Challenge		addressed the Innovation Challenge because it
set by Ofgem.		addresses the understanding of consumer
		vulnerability in the context of Net Zero transition by
		aiming to create replicable approaches that will
		better support and include vulnerable and
		disadvantaged consumers. The Fairer Warmth Hub
		has the potential to improve coordination between
		networks and other stakeholders to enable joined up

		and targeted support for consumers. In addition, the
		remit and responsibility for consumer service
		provision provides an evidence base for how it will
		achieve this.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to have
clearly identified potential		identified potential to deliver a net benefit to both
to deliver a net benefit to		gas and electricity consumers because the Fairer
gas or electricity		Warmth Hub is aimed at improving access to
consumers		solutions for decarbonising heat provisions for
		vulnerable consumers in addition to enhancing
		existing efficiency efforts. The Expert Assessors
		were satisfied that there are clear potential social
		benefits to be derived from targeted guidance to
		improve energy efficiency and physical warmth in
		homes, in addition to cost savings to consumers
		through engagement with the Fairer Warmth Hub.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it is looking at
		supporting network planning for both gas and
		electricity through the utilisation of digital tools. The
		Expert Assessors agreed that the innovative element
		of the Project is the bottom up, place-based
		approach to supporting consumers. Additionally, the
		Expert Assessors found the Fairer Warmth Hub to be
		an alternative way of approaching implementation of
		Local Heat and Efficiency Energy Strategies and
		Local Area Energy Plans and will also assist network
		operators with improved outreach to vulnerable
		consumers.

4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project to
undermine the		undermine the development of competitive markets
development of		because the core aims of the Project are around
competitive markets.		community and consumer support. Fairer Warmth
		Hub looks to provide support for communities to
		bulk purchase assets such as heat pumps or
		hydrogen ready boilers and this will improve the
		commercial landscape for manufacturers of these
		products. The Expert Assessors noted that, given
		the community-oriented nature of the Project and
		the involvement of multiple non-profit stakeholders,
		there is no threat to competitive markets.
5: Projects must be	Mot	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative novel and/or	Met	innovative and risky because of the focus on new
ricky		tomplated pathways to assist vulperable consumers
TISKY.		and communities. The Droject is novel in its
		and communities. The Project is novel in its
		approach because it aims to bring together various
		unique hub concent linking multiple stand plane
		tools and erganisations to achieve better outcome
		for subscripts and organisations to achieve better outcomes
		for vulnerable consumers. The Expert Assessors
		concluded that the linkages with DESNZ and other
		Innovate UK funding streams aid not preciude the
		Project from network innovation funding.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Eligibility
participation from a range		Criterion to be met because the Project includes
of stakeholders.		partnership from a wide range of stakeholders
		including gas and electricity networks, community
		outreach, local Government and energy service
		companies with wide ranging expertise among the
		group. The Expert Assessors considered that while

		there is strength in leveraging a range of community
		groups and scaling the innovation across multiple
		local authorities, the wide range of stakeholders
		could be a risk to the deliverability of the Project
		and such risk in this sense would need to be
		managed accordingly.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
value for money and be		value for money given the benefits outlined when
costed competitively.		compared against the cost of delivery. Benefits
		articulated were detailed as both direct and indirect,
		with the Expert Assessors commenting on the
		significant social and health benefits to be generated
		by the Project. The Expert Assessors viewed the cost
		of the Project overall to be on the lower end for a
		Project involving multiple demonstrators, and
		therefore good value for money for the ambitious
		and far-reaching aims of the programme.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project be well
thought through and have		thought through with a sufficiently robust
a robust methodology so		methodology to enable the Project to progress in a
that they are capable of		timely manner. The Project management
progressing in a timely		documentation could have been more detailed but
manner.		was deemed sufficient and there is dedicated Project
		management in place. The Expert Assessors did
		note that the Project, given its far-reaching aims,
		multiple stakeholders and large consortia will need
		to maintain rigorous Project management
		throughout to ensure timely delivery of the complex
		programme of work.

## Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

#### FUND

Overall, the Expert Assessors considered the Project to have met all Eligibility Criteria and recommended the Project for Funding. The Project directly addresses the Innovation Challenge Supporting a Just Transition, through its ambition to assist consumers directly and help them to help navigate the energy transition. The Project looks to provide a network of support that connects communities with larger entities such as Networks, suppliers and other public bodies which is considered an ambitious undertaking. If successful, the Fairer Warmth Hub will help to filter and provide clarity to consumers on what decarbonisation approaches are best for individuals and communities. The Fairer Warmth Hub will look to consolidate these tools through templated pathways so they can be better utilised by communities and individuals, while also looking to incorporate elements of whole system network planning through enhanced utilisation of Local Area Energy Plans. The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have engaged a wide range of stakeholders and have a large consortium with the experience necessary to achieve the ambitions of the programme.

#### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### **DO NOT FUND**

Ofgem disagrees with the Expert Assessors and has not approved funding for this Project because Ofgem considered the Project did not meet Eligibility Criterion 5 (Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky), because it did not consider that this type of advice service to be sufficiently novel or risky. Ofgem considered that mechanisms for community purchasing of heat pumps, and other low carbon technologies already exist as do similar community and business advice services, and that consolidated services and drop-in centres are not a novel concept.

Ofgem also considered that Eligibility Criterion 8 (Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner) was not met. Ofgem considered that the Project was not clearly thought through or capable of progressing in a timely manner because both the aims of the Project and its plans to achieve them lacked granularity. There was a degree of uncertainty about how the many stakeholders involved would input into the Project in a meaningful and cohesive manner. Ofgem considered that the Project plan was not clearly defined, the work packages had unclear aims, and risks to the Project appeared to be overlooked.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/a

#### 4.1.3 10127935, SHIELD

#### **Submitted Project description**

SHIELD is a bold new initiative aimed at making the Net Zero transition accessible to low-income residents of social housing and other tenures who cannot afford Low Carbon Technologies (LCTs). SHIELD utilises innovative solutions, including distributed data centres for heating, PV and battery storage to intelligently balance supply and demand. This innovative approach to decarbonisation seeks to address the debilitating issues faced by those who live in fuel poverty, helping to reduce

both the upfront and running costs of consumers' heating and energy. SHIELD provides a path to decarbonisation for all, which would otherwise be out of reach.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
address the Innovation		have addressed the Innovation Challenge of
Challenge set by		understanding consumer vulnerability and,
Ofgem.		adapting and improving existing decarbonisation
		solutions because it has potential to support
		decarbonisation of heating and electricity for fuel
		poor and vulnerable households. The reduction in
		costs for vulnerable consumers through the vehicle
		of the social ESCo (Energy Services Company)
		model could lead to better economic and social
		outcomes for these consumers. The focus is on
		geographic areas of fuel poverty and the Project
		will work with local government and social housing
		entities to demonstrate the potential and benefit of
		innovative opportunities via aggregation.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
clearly identified		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
potential to deliver a		benefit to electricity and gas consumers, because it
net benefit to gas or		places the fuel poor and vulnerable bouseholds at
electricity consumers		the centre of its proposal. It aims to establish a
		'pay as you use' model to improve living conditions
		and secure warmer homes and lower cost
		electricity, while reducing carbon emissions and
		strain on the grid. To the extent that the ESCo and
		HeatHub model also provides flexibility services to

		network operators, it should contribute to reducing
		costs of network operations and reinforcement, the
		benefits to consumers are therefore both direct and
		indirect.
2. Projecto must	Mot	The Expert Accessors considered this Project to
5. Projects must	Met	involve network innovation because it will test new
		Involve network innovation because it will test new
innovation.		ways of deferring or reducing network investment
		costs resulting from significant anticipated
		electrification. These innovations centre on
		flexibility services from highly distributed
		renewable power assets managed by a social ESCo
		to optimise energy use and aggregate savings
		across a locality and make provision for residual
		heat for domestic supply from distributed data
		storage using the innovative HeatHub technology.
		Through the delivery of the outputs, the Project
		could enable better operation of the electricity
		network through improved services and
		minimisation of planned infrastructure
		improvements.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
undermine the		to be undermining the development of competitive
development of		markets because it is looking to open flexibility
competitive markets.		markets by further by developing new services
		from community-scale ESCos, while generating
		revenues from network services. As part of the
		commercialisation route, the intended market
		model aims to become widely available, as the
		partners plan for extension across DNOs, funders
		and other interested and eligible parties in the final
		work package of the Beta Phase. UKPN, as lead

		network operator, is positioned to adjust flexibility
		procurement practices to accommodate the
		planned innovation, and to share knowledge with
		other DNOs. Additionally, there is no intention to
		generate income or royalties from Project IP
		outside open market participation.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel		innovative, novel and risky because it seeks to
and/or risky.		assemble a cross-sector consortium with
		knowledge and skills needed to reduce fuel
		poverty. The Project's solution is novel in its
		combination of technical and socio-economic
		innovations, which should, if successful, reduce
		carbon emissions and costs of whole energy
		system decarbonisation, as well as enabling lower
		income households to access benefits from
		affordable clean heat and power. It is risky,
		because it requires sustained collaboration of
		multiple partners to assemble and operate the
		envisaged local energy services reliably and
		affordably, while gaining the trust of vulnerable
		sections of society, and generating sufficient
		revenues to cover costs and remain on track to
		commercial viability through unlocking value in an
		innovative and transformative way.
6: Projects must	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
include participation		include participation from a sufficient range of
from a range of		stakeholders because it combines Project Partners,
stakeholders.		spanning commercial, technical, civic, local
		government and social enterprise sectors to
		develop and manage the intended energy service

		and local energy trading innovations. Considerable
		stakeholder engagement is also planned for the
		Beta Phase, encompassing households and tenants
		through survey and one-to-one methods, as well
		as a larger number of social landlords, further
		DNOs and potential funders. This is appropriate
		given the focus on vulnerable consumers and the
		trajectory required for the journey to
		commercialisation. This network of interests is
		further extended through subcontractors including
		the Power Networks Demonstration Centre and
		equipment suppliers.
7: Projects must	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
provide value for		delivering value for money and be costed
money and be costed		competitively. The Expert Assessors were satisfied
competitively.		with the costings presented because the Project
		Partners are contributing 45.4% to costs,
		demonstrating commitment and buy in to the
		solution. One third of household batteries will be
		funded by UKPN and the community ESCo; PV
		costs are funded by the ESCo. Additionally, there
		should be value for money from savings on energy
		bills for fuel poor households, alongside potential
		reductions in network investment from local
		flexibility services and carbon reductions from use
		of renewable power and waste/residual heat
		sources.
8: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered that the Project
well thought through		has a robust methodology which gives confidence
and have a robust		that it will be capable of progressing in a timely
methodology so that		manner, because the necessary skills and

they are capable of	k	nowledge for Project management are evident in
progressing in a timely	tł	he consortium, and there is a systematic, detailed
manner.	m	nanagement and methodology stated. UKPN and
	E	ssex County Council will work together to manage
	о	overall progress. The social enterprise Power Circle
	P	Projects (PCP) will be tasked with establishment of
	а	viable community ESCo, in the form of Essex
	С	Community Energy (ECE), responsible for
	ir	nterconnected energy supply, export and customer
	S	ervice agreements. Power Circle Projects will
	m	nanage the successive phases of the Project and
	it	ts multiple technical and social/civil sector
	p	partners.

#### Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met all Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding.

The Expert Assessors found the Project to directly address the Innovation Challenge as it aims to directly help reduce bills for vulnerable consumers in social housing using a highly innovative business model and technical innovation that utilises waste heat. Successful delivery could have significant benefits for multiple parties and the Expert Assessors were impressed with the collaboration of the Project Partners managing their respective interests and working together to unlock value for each other. The Expert Assessors found that the Thermify heat hub technology was crucial to the innovative nature of the proposal as it gives rise to the business model and the ability to unlock value. The Expert Assessors noted that the Project will deliver net benefits and uses a wide range of stakeholders as evidenced by the high contributions and were satisfied there was clear potential for network benefit through the improved services and minimisation of planned infrastructure improvements. The Expert Assessors commended the consortium for

balancing respective interests to work together to test a novel solution which could have significant benefit for both vulnerable consumers and the wider energy system.

#### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approves this Project for funding. The Expert Assessors viewed the Project to have met all Eligibility Criteria - Ofgem agrees on the basis that the Project's innovation lies in its multi-faceted, innovative approach to providing more affordable heating for vulnerable consumers. This will deliver benefits to both gas and electricity customers as it will reduce their bills, while exploring innovative new business models that bring together different sectors to harness mutually beneficial novel commercially arrangements.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

At the kick off meeting, the Project must provide explanation on how the tenant would receive the discount on electricity should they change supplier.

Prior to the Funding Party beginning work on the Project, it must provide to the monitoring officer an update on the two pilot installations of the Heathub showing the technical viability of the solution. Further updates must be provided during the quarterly meetings until the installations have been completed.

## 5 SIF Beta Phase – [Preparing for a Net Zero Power System] - Summary

This section covers the assessment of Round 2 Beta Phase Applications received into the 'Preparing for a Net Zero Power System' Innovation Challenge.

For the Beta Phase, 4 Applications were submitted to Innovate UK through the Innovation Funding Service (IFS) portal by the closing deadline of 22 May 2024 and are listed below.

Project reference number	Project name	Funding licensee	Total Project costs (£)	Total Project contributi on (£)	Total SIF Funding requested (£)	Expert Assessors Recommended for funding (Yes/No)	<i>Ofgem Decision for funding (Yes/No)</i>
10120718	Artificial Forecasting	Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Limited	£ 3,664,540	£ 366,454	£ 3,298,086	No	No
10121485	Powering Wales Renewably	National Grid ESO Limited	£ 12,189,431	£ 1,835,798	£ 10,353,633	Yes	Yes
10128096	SIF Black Start Demonstration from Offshore Wind (SIF BLADE)	SP Transmission PLC	£ 5,454,276	£ 603,371	£ 4,850,905	Yes	Yes

# 6 Expert Assessors Recommendations [Preparing for a Net Zero Power System]

#### 6.1.1 10120718, Artificial Forecasting

#### **Submitted Project description**

As Distribution Network Operators (DNOs) develop their distribution system operator functions, the annual process currently used to forecast load at extra-high-voltage/high-voltage needs to become increasingly granular, at the monthly, weekly, daily and hourly level, to support flexibility dispatch and defer or avoid reinforcement. Moreover, the increasing prevalence of low-voltage monitoring data enables new use cases to support network planning and the extension of flexibility markets at ED3. The Artificial Forecasting Project addresses these unmet needs by building innovative AI solutions to expand load forecasting capability at primary (EHV-HV) and secondary (HV-LV) substations, optimising flexibility procurement and enabling Distribution System Operators (DSO) functions across the sector.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors all agreed that the scope of
the Innovation Challenge		the Project addresses the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		supporting the system integration of novel assets
		and the marketing accessibility, as improving load
		forecasting at a range of levels within the power
		system will facilitate more effective operation of a
		low carbon power system.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors agreed that this Project has
clearly identified potential		the potential to deliver a net benefit to consumers
to deliver a net benefit to		because improved forecasting should enable
gas or electricity		improved layering of services to flexibility service
consumers		providers (FSPs), in turn increasing liquidity of
		flexibility across short to medium timeframes. This

		could push down the price of flexibility provision,
		and therefore reduce the cost of distribution
		network operation for consumers. The Expert
		Assessors did acknowledge that there are high
		risks to delivery of the benefits contingent on
		model accuracy, market evolution, and
		performance of the forecast models. It was
		suggested that adjustments to Project delivery
		would de-risk the investment, particularly
		focussing the Project to de-risk activities at the HV-
		LV level.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors all agreed that the core
network innovation.		Project focus is upon network innovation in that it
		aims to produce a solution offering more accurate
		load forecasting methodologies at various
		substation voltage levels on the distribution
		network, which will also facilitate potential
		improvements in distribution system operator
		flexibility markets.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors agreed the Project does not
undermine the		undermine the development of competitive
development of		markets because the Project does in fact have the
competitive markets.		potential to improve the participation and diversity
		of flexibility providers in flexibility markets. The
		Expert Assessors have indicated that there is a risk
		of supplier monopoly as the solution provider to
		both Northern Powergrid and other DNOs unless
		there were stringent requirements around
		competitive procurement and producing software

		1
		whitepapers enabling others to fully understand,
		integrate and build upon the solution.
		For the longer-term implementation into business
		as usual, there should be a requirement for
		Northern Powergrid to develop in house skills and
		capabilities whilst providing governance
		arrangements to mitigate risks of a supplier
		monopoly, and Expert Assessors felt that this could
		be more materially planned for and incorporated as
		a skills development aspect of the Beta Phase
		Project plan.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors agreed that the Project
innovative, novel and/or		meets this Eligibility Criterion and is innovative
risky.		because it is utilising machine learning models to
		forecast loads on the distribution level. This is a
		novel approach with very significant associated
		risks. The Expert Assessors recognised that there
		exists potential in the approach, but there persists
		significant uncertainty around whether the final
		forecast model would be able to improve forecast
		accuracy to an impactful level, and if that accuracy
		would materially enable improved procurement of
		flexibility. The Expert Assessors were sufficiently
		satisfied that the Project had investigated whether
		other Distribution Network Operators were
		developing or employing similar techniques
		presently.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors agreed that the Project does
participation from a range		include sufficient participation from a range of
of stakeholders.		suitable stakeholders because the relevant

		networks, machine learning specialists, and
		flexibility service providers are all represented in
		the delivery team. The Expert Assessors welcomed
		the involvement of flexibility service providers in
		delivering the Project and would encourage further
		engagement with a wide range of flexibility service
		providers as the solution approaches commercial
		maturity. It was noted that the Expert Assessors
		would like to see proactive engagement from
		National Grid Electricity Distribution, UKPN and
		other DNOs to ensure standardisation and
		consistency of models which support flexibility
		markets.
		The Event Assesses successed that the Dreinst
		The Expert Assessors suggested that the Project
		Should consider a Distribution Network Operator
		distributions notworks flowibility providers and
		interface previders that may apprets and
		interface providers that may operate any
		Control Market Facilitates abauld also have active
		Central Market Facilitator should also have active
		and material engagement with the Project to
		ensure that Application Process Interfaces (APIs)
		and system integration opportunities are conducive
		to facilitating wider industry wide rollout.
7: Projects must provide	Not Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
value for money and be		to be value for money and costed competitively.
costed competitively.		The Expert Assessors took the view that some key
		aspects could be de-risked through reprofiling of
		stage gates, adjusting the focus of the Project
		towards ensuring model accuracy of forecasts at
		the HV-LV level (where there was agreement that
		the greatest value for unlocking flexibility provision
--------------------------	-----	--
		was likely to manifest beyond current business as
		usual load forecasting methodologies).
		A key risk was identified as the availability of low
		voltage data through Northern Powergrid's
		flexibility data platform, which is in the process of
		being refreshed and updated. The extent of the
		risk introduces doubt in respect of the delivery of
		consumer value. This could be better managed as
		a Project dependency through adjustments to
		delivery schedules and inclusion as success criteria
		within stage gates. Expert Assessors recognised
		that the main value potential was at the HV/LV
		level but that the interim stage gate at the 12-
		month mark of delivery would principally mitigate
		against deployment of the HV/EHV solution.
		At this time, Expert Assessors felt that the Project
		does not meet the Eligibility Criterion and would
		need to accommodate some of these adjustments
		ahead of a resubmission. It was suggested there
		should be additional stage gating around putting
		spend controls through stage gating on the HV/LV
		data becoming available and a workstream on
		operationalising the product, ongoing costs, skills,
		and capabilities expertise to deploy and maintain
		the model to ensure that the considerable
		investment would unlock value.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors consider this Project to be
thought through and		well thought through and have a robust
have a robust		methodology so that they it progresses in a timely

methodology so that they	manner because the Project management was		
are capable of	robust, and the team planning was at a high		
progressing in a timely	standard. The Project in its current form would be		
manner.	expected to be delivered in a timely manner at a		
	high standard. However, additional stage gating to		
	manage risks at end of year one and additional		
	deliverables around engagement and		
	operationalising the solution would give greater		
	confidence of the Project being able to deliver		
	value for money.		
	Export According would welcome greater clarity on		
	Expert Assessors would welcome greater clarity on		
	how the Beta Phase would consider that plan for		
	ongoing maintenance, operation, and performance		
	of the model. Further consideration of how the		
	model would be maintained, retrained, and		
	updated on a quarterly process in business as		
	usual would be welcomed.		
	The written Application would have benefitted from		
	further thought of how much computational		
	requirements would be needed to retrain and rerun		
	models, although demonstrated at interview that		
	this had clearly been thought through.		

#### DO NOT FUND

The Project was not recommended for funding by the Expert Assessors. Primarily there were still significant risks to delivering the full range of opportunity and value through this Project

(Eligibility Criterion 7). Expert Assessors took the view that some key aspects could be de-risked through reprofiling of stage gates, adjusting the focus of the Project towards ensuring model accuracy of forecasts at the HV-LV level (where there was agreement that the greatest value for unlocking flexibility provision was likely to manifest beyond current business as usual load forecasting methodologies).

Additionally, while Eligibility Criterion 8 was met, the Expert Assessors raised concerns about the thought and preparation put towards greater clarity required on the plan for ongoing maintenance, operation, and performance of the model in business-as-usual, including how Northern Powergrid and other distribution network operators would need to upskill their workforces to do so effectively.

#### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### **DO NOT FUND**

Ofgem has agreed with the Expert Assessors that this Project should not be funded. The Project provided insufficient evidence to justify the value for money due to the significant risks raised about risks for delivering the full range of opportunity and value for this Project. Ofgem acknowledges and agrees with the Expert Assessors views on requiring greater clarity on the plan for ongoing maintenance, operation, and performance of the forecast model and operational interfaces.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

## 6.1.2 10121485, Powering Wales Renewably

#### **Submitted Project description**

Pweru Cymru yn adnewyddol (Powering Wales Renewably, PWR) brings together the Welsh Government, whole energy system users and network operators who collectively span the energy system value-chain. Collaboratively, they identified the priorities required to support the delivery of the Welsh Government's decarbonisation plans, prepare for a net zero power system and deliver net benefits to Wales's citizens and communities.

Through delivery of a digital twin of the whole Welsh energy transmission and distribution systems, PWR will provide a digital common interface to accelerate the integration of renewable generation, by enhancing locational visibility of system challenges and whole energy system status.

Eligibility Criterion	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors agree that the Project
the Innovation Challenge		addresses the Innovation Challenge because it will
set by Ofgem.		support the system integration of novel assets by
		developing a digital twin tool enabling key
		stakeholders in the Welsh energy system to plan,
		coordinate and integrate renewable generation,
		distributed energy resources, and flexibility
		resources to the system. Furthermore, this approach
		could be of high value if replicable across other
		areas of GB.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors agreed that this Project has
clearly identified potential		clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to
to deliver a net benefit to		electricity consumers because it will support
gas or electricity		accelerated rollout of connections of low carbon
consumers		energy sources, and the ability to leverage lowest
		cost flexibility would deliver cost and carbon savings
		to generators and consumers. Some questions were

		raised about the potential of replicability to wider		
		areas of the GB network.		
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors agreed that this Project		
network innovation.		involves network innovation because it addresses		
		data integration between generators, site		
		developers, flexibility providers and the energy		
		networks across vectors and at distribution and		
		transmission level. This type of system-wide		
		digitalisation has not yet been attempted for the UK.		
		The learnings gathered from developing this system		
		could be significant.		
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors agreed that this Project		
undermine the		demonstrated that it does not undermine the		
development of		development of competitive markets because it will		
competitive markets.		enable the delivery of digital commons		
		infrastructure. This will improve data transparency		
		by the full range of energy system stakeholders by		
		being open to all parties and thus facilitate the		
		effective operation of energy and flexibility markets.		
		The Expert Assessors did flag that there should be		
		regulatory oversight of the ongoing development of		
		monopolised digital infrastructure by Ofgem		
		(particularly were developed by third party		
		providers). Furthermore, the Project should be		
		required to publish digital commons of the solutions		
		in the form of white papers, APIs, and licensed		
		accessibility - notwithstanding security		
		considerations, as per Ofgem's Data Best Practices.		

5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative, novel and/or risky because delivery of a
risky.		regional scale digital twin is novel and will need to
		overcome significant challenges, such as combining
		multiple energy system data sets to improve
		planning operations. The Expert Assessors also
		consider that in attempting to address several use
		cases in parallel, such as support for Local Area
		Energy Plan and Regional Energy Strategic Planning
		support, the Project is inherently risky and
		innovative.
		Better technical and innovation support for Local
		Area Energy Plan development and Regional Energy
		Strategic Planning were identified by the Expert
		Assessors as being a priority area of focus for the
		Project if it is to fully deliver its strategic potential.
		The Expert Assessors commented that the Project
		should not be delayed for other Projects such as the
		Virtual Energy System for which Powering Wales
		Renewably complements.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders because multiple government, energy
		and third-party stakeholders are clearly identified as
		Project Partners or key stakeholders, covering a
		cross-section across the country's residents.
		The Expert Assessors considered that the Project
		would benefit from greater involvement of some key
		energy and non-energy industry stakeholders such
		as the Catapult(s) and flexibility providers to

		embedded knowledge and commercial experience of			
		operating in the areas of the use cases and to			
		ensure ESO are accountable to delivering to their			
		industry.			
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors agreed that the Project is			
value for money and be		costed competitively and can deliver value for			
costed competitively.		money. Whilst the costing of the Project is high,			
		there is potential for significant benefits if delivered			
		effectively, leveraging the experience of key			
		previous stakeholders, and providing accountability			
		that a range of industry stakeholders have			
		materially contributed. The Expert Assessors did			
		comment that the balance of funding was			
		concentrated largely around two of the Project			
		Partners and this presented risks to the relatively			
		smaller contribution from other Project Partners.			
		Due to the whole systems nature of this Project,			
		they said that it is critical that the full range of			
		stakeholders are actively part of development.			
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors consider this Project to be well			
thought through and have		thought through and have a robust methodology			
a robust methodology so		because the Project Management materials were			
that they are capable of		professionally delivered.			
progressing in a timely		However, Expert Assessors noted the Project would			
manner.		benefit from considering the breadth-first vs depth-			
		first focus on the use case delivery with an			
		increased prioritisation of use cases 1 and 3. This			
		would help manage delivery of tangible benefits.			
		Furthermore, greater utilisation of stage gates			
		during interim points of delivery to validate			

	stakeholder input, manage interdependencies with
	other initiatives, and validate success criteria of the
	software developed.

#### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors agree that the Project addresses the Innovation Challenge by developing a digital twin tool to help stakeholders in the Welsh energy system plan and integrate renewable generation, distributed energy resources, and flexibility resources. While there were concerns about its replicability, this tool could be valuable if replicated across other areas of GB. The Project is expected to benefit electricity consumers by accelerating the rollout of low carbon energy sources and reducing costs and carbon emissions. the Project involves network innovation by integrating data across different energy sectors and levels. The Project is considered innovative and risky, with challenges in delivering a regional digital twin. It should focus on technical and innovation support for Local Area Energy Plans and Regional Energy Strategic Planning. The Project would benefit from greater involvement of key energy and non-energy stakeholders and has competitive costing with potential significant benefits. The Project is well thought through with a robust methodology but should prioritise certain use cases and utilise stage gates to manage delivery and validate success.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approves this Project for funding. Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors' view that the Project should progress in tandem with, and not wait

for, the Virtual Energy System programme, but an open approach to data sharing should be adopted between Projects.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

As part of the quarterly review meetings, the Project must present its approach to stakeholder engagement and clear accountability to increase participation from energy and non-energy industry stakeholders, such as Catapults and flexibility platform providers.

In addition to complying with general condition 13 regarding Data Best Practice and alignment with the Digital Strategy and Action Plan Guidance, the Project must specifically address how it will manage background IP to ensure the digital infrastructure it develops is accessible to other digital service providers.

The Project must incorporate stage gates aligned with the completion of the pilot and minimum viable product phases of the Data Sharing Infrastructure (DSI) Project. These stage gates must ensure that i) the Project informs the development of the DSI as a future use case for the Virtual Energy System, and ii) there is no duplication of effort, or if duplication occurs, it is justified.

At the Project kick-off meeting, the Project must present its plan for ensuring internal governance within the ESO and the Project, with clear lines of regular communication between the Project, the DSI Project, and the Virtual Energy System programme, to ensure they can inform each other's development and operate as a connected cohort of Projects.

# 6.1.3 10128096, SIF Black Start Demonstration from Offshore Wind (SIF BLADE)

#### **Submitted Project description**

Enabling a low-cost net-zero GB electricity network that is robust and secure, by demonstrating how novel technology can enable offshore wind farms to restore the onshore grid following a black out. Building on this, optimal market requirements and standard technical specifications will be developed to enable rapid commercial roll-out of this novel technology. The overarching aim of the Black Start Demonstration from Offshore Wind (SIF BLADE) Project is to bring electricity system restoration from offshore wind to commercial reality by building the necessary cross-industry understanding including onshore transmission network owners, transmission system operators, offshore wind farm operators, and technology suppliers.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge
set by Ofgem.		because there is a need for restoration services
		compatible with a Net Zero energy system.
		Currently, there are no other major innovation
		Projects addressing this issue. By developing
		evidence for the Energy System Operator and
		sector on the technical and commercial
		capability of offshore wind to provide restoration
		services, this Project has the potential to
		generate market standards that will help the
		power system be ready for Net Zero by 2035.
		However, as this is a whole systems problem
		and not purely a network innovation problem,
		Assessors felt the case for SIF funding could be
		seen as marginal.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
to deliver a net benefit to		benefit to electricity consumers. The evidence
gas or electricity		generated by the Project will lead to the design
consumers		and implementation of restoration services from
		offshore wind, allowing for a greater mix of
		renewable restoration and less disruption to

		consumers in the event of black outs,				
		particularly when current fossil fuel sources of				
		generation are no longer available.				
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to				
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it is				
		examining a new operating system. This system				
		has the potential to provide innovative options				
		for restoration services which haven't been				
		examined in depth from a commercial or				
		technical perspective to date, with input from				
		the full breadth of relevant stakeholders.				
		Additional commercial innovations include the				
		identification of new revenue streams for				
		offshore wind developers.				
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider the				
undermine the		Project to be materially likely to undermine the				
development of		development of competitive markets. Whilst it is				
competitive markets.		possible that the Project's outputs could lead to				
		ESO's decisions on restoration provision				
		prioritising offshore wind when other options are				
		available, the Project will develop and produce				
		feasibility data for an additional service which				
		will compete with existing provisions.				
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to				
innovative, novel and/or		be innovative, novel and risky as it provides				
risky.		feasibility for the development and				
		demonstration of offshore wind farm restoration				
		capabilities, markets, and specifications. The				
		Expert Assessors also considered the Project is				
		novel and risky because the offshore wind farm				

		systems and markets are not developed to
		provide restoration services.
		It is helping stakeholders across the value chain,
		most of whom are associated with the Project, to
		contribute to the generation of both
		technological and market solutions.
6. Drojacta must includa	Mot	The Expert Accessors considered this Project to
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		Include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders because the Project consortium,
		partners and advisory board represent various
		developers, decision makers, and the supply
		chain necessary for offshore wind farms to
		provide Black Start. Stakeholders across the
		governance structure appear to be engaged.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
value for money and be		be delivering value for money and be costed
costed competitively.		competitively. Whilst there were some concerns
		about the lack of transparency surrounding OEM
		costs and the potential cost of a sub-contractor
		as a Technical Director, the Expert Assessors
		recommended that a stage gate is held at the
		end of Stage 1 before the recruitment of the
		OEM. This stage gate is required to confirm the
		finalised OEM costs provide value for money.
		This would provide an opportunity for the Project
		to address the concerns before Stage 2 of the
		Project provides value for money
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and have		have a robust methodology and be capable of
a robust methodology so		progressing in a timely manner. Although the

that they are capable of	Project plan was felt to lack details in some
progressing in a timely	areas, overall, it was considered to be well
manner.	thought through, giving the Expert Assessors
	confidence in the Project achieving its outcomes.

#### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met all the Eligibility Criteria. The Project addresses the Innovation Challenge as it aims to provide evidence for restoration services from offshore wind, thus improving the resilience and robustness of the electricity network. This will create market conditions which assist the Electricity System Operator in tendering for renewable restoration services and provide evidence of commercial and technical viability to incentivise potential restoration providers to participate. The Project will deliver a net benefit to electricity consumers through an eventual greater mix and availability of renewable restoration which will reduce disruption in the event of a blackout. The Project's range of stakeholders was felt to include appropriate representation from offshore wind developers and industry supply chain.

Whilst overall the Project was considered to offer value for money, the Expert Assessors raised some concerns that the OEM costs could have been brought out more clearly. The Expert Assessors recommended that a stage gate be included at the end of the Project's Stage 1, before the recruitment of the OEM. This would allow for the costs associated with Stage 2 to be properly scrutinised.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

#### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors that the Eligibility Criteria were met. Ofgem agrees that the Project's innovation aims to evidence restoration services from offshore wind and improving the resilience and robustness of the electricity network. The Project provides an eventual greater mix and availability of renewable restoration which will reduce disruptions during a blackout. Ofgem acknowledges the concerns raised by the Expert Assessors and has sought to address these concerns through the Project specific conditions.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

• As part of stage gate 1 (set out as Stage Gate 1 in the Project plan), prior to the recruitment of the OEM, the Project must provide the finalised OEM costs and confirm it will provide value for money.

# 7 SIF Beta Phase – [Improving Energy System Resilience and Robustness] - Summary

This section covers the assessment of Round 2 Beta Phase Applications received into the 'Improving Energy System Resilience and Robustness' Innovation Challenge.

For the Beta Phase, 12 Applications were submitted to Innovate UK through the Innovation Funding Service (IFS) portal by the closing deadline of 22 May 2024 and are listed below.

Project reference number	Project name	Funding licensee	Total Project costs (£)	Total Project contributio n (£)	Total SIF Funding requested (£)	Expert Assessors Recommended for funding (Yes/No)	Ofgem Decision for funding (Yes/No)
10117736	SF6 Whole Life Strategy	National Gas Transmission PLC	£ 9,790,949	£ 1,290,225	£ 8,500,724	Yes	Yes
10117774	D-Suite	SP MANWEB PLC	£ 8,963,046	£ 897,300	£ 8,065,746	Yes	Yes
10119039	Whole Electricity System Resilience Vulnerability Assessment (WELLNESS)	National Grid Electricity Transmission PLC	£ 6,616,297	£ 662,063	£ 5,954,234	No	No
10121136	Digital Inspector	Cadent Gas Limited	£ 4,084,765	£ 551,610	£ 3,533,155	No	No
10121486	Scenarios for Extreme Events	National Grid ESO Limited	£ 6,033,080	£ 943,958	£ 5,089,122	No	No
10123593	NextGen Electrolysis – Wastewater to Green Hydrogen	Wales & West Utilities	£ 6,795,059	£ 928,767	£ 5,866,292	Yes	Yes
10123649	Multi Resilience	Northern Powergrid	£ 8,317,990	£ 2,062,606	£ 6,255,384	Yes	Yes

		(Northeast) Limited					
10124630	REACT	Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission PLC	£ 5,977,648	£ 619,847	£ 5,357,801	Yes	No
10126543	Connected & Autonomous grid aerial survey, inspection, monitoring and rapid response (CAGSIMR)	National Grid Electricity Transmission PLC	£ 8,412,842	£ 2,649,400	£ 5,763,442	Yes	No
10127702	Phased Switch System	National Grid Electricity Distribution PLC	£ 3,471,533	£ 348,183	£ 3,123,350	Yes	Yes
10127933	CReDo+	UKPN (Operations) Limited	£ 10,896,603	£ 1,089,674	£ 9,806,929	Yes	Yes
10127934	Connectrolyser	UKPN (Operations) Limited	£ 5,559,263	£ 740,256	£ 4,819,007	No	No

# 8 Expert Assessors Recommendations [Improving Energy System Resilience and Robustness]

# 8.1.1 10117736, SF6 Whole Life Strategy

#### **Submitted Project description**

Based on Alpha Phase findings the Project will further develop selected aspects of SF6 management in the fields of techno-economic comparison for intervention strategies, laboratory-scale greener-disposal of SF6, leakage-rate modelling of SF6 equipment, and long-term, inservice evolution of non-SF6 gas-blends.

The feasibility of retro-filling passive gas-insulated assets without OEM support will be fully assessed and a pilot solution developed & deployed (subject to feasibility outcomes). The scalability of energy-efficient disposal of SF6 will be demonstrated. Asset leakage prediction tools will be developed based upon data from existing and newly installed gas-density sensors. Long-term stability of non-SF6 gas-blends will be assessed.

Eligibility Criterion	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to have
the Innovation Challenge		addressed the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		understanding the robustness in future energy
		system configurations because it tackles the
		emissions arising from the use of SF6, by looking at
		new strategies of managing SF6 in network assets
		and early adoption of non-SF6 technology to help
		improve energy system resilience. The Expert
		Assessors noted that the Project builds on the issues
		arising from past network and energy innovation
		Projects.

2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to have
clearly identified potential		clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to
to deliver a net benefit to		electricity consumers because it identifies cost
gas or electricity		effective ways for the networks to meet new legal
consumers		obligations for SF6 management. This will lead to
		cost savings for electricity customers and avoids the
		need to spend on expensive switchgear
		replacement.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it aims to
		develop novel methods for SF6 leakage monitoring
		and prediction, retrofilling, understanding of non-
		SF6 gas blend behaviour and disposal of SF6 using
		energy efficient methods. The Project findings will
		help better manage the essential items of network
		equipment such as switchgear maintenance.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project to
undermine the		be likely to undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets because the Project is likely to
competitive markets.		deliver capabilities in dealing with SF6 that do not
		currently exist in the GB energy market. The Project
		has taken an open approach through the Technical
		Advisory Group so that all stakeholders in the
		competitive parts of the market, such as the supply
		chains for switchgear and monitoring equipment,
		can be kept abreast of developments.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative and risky because the proposed energy
risky.		efficient disposal of SF6 does not exist yet.
		Similarly, the retrospective filling option and

		understanding of non-SF6 gas blend behaviour is
		not currently clear in the GB market. The Expert
		Assessors did raise concerns on the innovativeness
		of the work involving data collection using sensors
		for improved SF6 leakage monitoring and
		forecasting as it is work that networks are obliged to
		do in business as usual (BAU). However, the Expert
		Assessors were satisfied with the Project's response
		during the interview that they will be using this
		phase of the Project to link existing sensor data with
		Internet of Things (IoT) and develop innovative way
		to leakage forecasting in systematic manner.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders because it involves all the GB
		transmission owners (NGET, SPT and SSEN-T),
		academia, industry Project Partners as well as a
		Technical Advisory Board in which a wide range of
		stakeholders would be involved.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the overall Project
value for money and be		to be delivering value for money and be costed
costed competitively.		competitively because the Beta Phase costs have
		been well defined and existing laboratory facilities at
		the Universities of Manchester and Cardiff will be
		made available for the Project, avoiding additional
		laboratory cost.
		While the Expert Assessors have acknowledged the
		Project has been costed competitively, they did raise
		concern that there is significant cost after stage gate
		1 which remains highly uncertain.

8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have
thought through and have		a robust methodology which gives confidence that it
a robust methodology so		will be capable of progressing in a timely manner
that they are capable of		because there is a clearly developed Project plan
progressing in a timely		and Project Partner roles are clearly defined. It is
manner.		evidenced in the Application that risk assessment
		methodologies and tools have been used, ensuring
		that mitigating factors have been put in place. The
		Expert Assessors noted that there was good synergy
		between the Project Partners during the interview.

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met all the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project to effectively address the Innovation Challenge by tackling the emissions arising from the use of SF6 through looking at new strategies of managing SF6 in network assets and early adoption of non-SF6 technology to help improve energy system resilience. In the shorter term the Project will help better manage SF6, link existing sensor data with the Internet of Things (IoT), and develop an innovative way to forecast SF6 leakage in a systematic manner. In the longer term the Project will deliver a net benefit to electricity consumers by providing an energy efficient and less carbon intensive way of SF6 disposal. The Project includes a broad range of stakeholders and is considered cost-effective with detailed Beta Phase costs. Despite competitive costing, there is uncertainty about significant costs post-stage gate 1, the Expert Assessors recommended a specific condition to be included which would address these issues. The Project's robust methodology and clearly defined roles provides confidence in progressing in a timely manner, with effective risk assessment and strong synergy among Project Partners noted.

#### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approves this Project for funding. The Project's innovation lies in new strategies for managing SF6 in network assets and a novel method for energy efficient and less carbon intensive SF6 disposal. The Project delivers a net benefit to electricity consumers by identifying cost effective ways for the networks to meet new legal obligations around SF6 management and avoiding the need to spend on expensive switchgear replacement. The successful delivery of the project will contribute to achieving Net Zero by directly reducing the carbon footprint of the networks through improved management, replacement, and energy-efficient disposal of SF6 gas. Ofgem acknowledges the concerns raised by the Expert Assessors and has sought to address these concerns through the Project specific conditions.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

As part of stage gate 1 (set out as Stage Gate 1 in the Project plan), the Project must provide explanation of increased costs for University of Manchester and associated activities costs.

## 8.1.2 10117774, D-Suite

#### **Submitted Project description**

D-Suite is a partially rated Low Voltage (LV) Power Electronic hardware demonstrator that will use a LV design tool, developed in Beta, to optimally place and size the partially rated Power Electronic Devices (PED) hardware. The hardware will be capable of

Mitigating phase voltage and current imbalance.

Managing feeder voltage profiles.

Balancing feeder and transformer loading.

The 59-month Project aims to create a cost competitive solution to traditional reinforcement, that can be rolled out at scale after the Beta Phase trial. The Project will leverage  $\pounds$ 8.06m of SIF funding to release  $\pounds$ 795m of total benefit for customers GB-wide.

Eligibility Criterion	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		improving the understanding of trade-offs
		between increasing resilience, robustness and the
		cost implications and consumer trust and
		acceptability because it aims to balance the
		phases of the voltage and optimise the electrical
		network in distribution networks to increase
		efficiency, reduce losses and enhance useful life
		of electrical equipment. The Project will improve
		the resilience and adaptability of the networks
		and offset capex costs by developing Low Voltage
		(LV) design tool which optimally places, sizes and
		simulates D-Suite Power Electronic Devices (PED),
		to maximise their utilisation and technical benefits
		and minimise their size and cost.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
to deliver a net benefit to		benefit to electricity consumers because it aims to
gas or electricity		improve system reliability by reducing unplanned
consumers		outages, reduce the cost of operating and
		maintaining the LV network. This will be achieved
		through the optimal deployment of partially rated
		Power Electronic Devices (D-ST, D-SOPs, D-
		STATCOMS) at the point of connection and
		consequently reduce electricity bills to consumers.
		The Project has articulated that more household
		renewable generations and Low Carbon
		Technology (LCT) can be connected as a result of

		the optimised LV network, helping reach Net
		Zero.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it aims to
		develop a novel LV design tool for optimal
		placement of PEDs and the development and
		deployment of partially rated PEDs (down to
		30kVA). The Project builds on a range of previous
		innovation Projects and aims to trial on three
		actual Low Voltage networks which is a step
		forward in innovation. The learning experiences
		are expected to lead towards the achievement of
		business as usual.
1. Projects must not	Mot	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
4. Projects must not	Met	to undermine the development of competitive
development of		markets because the LV design tool psoude code
		inalkets because the LV design tool pseudo code,
competitive markets.		input data and detailed implementation methods
		Will be shared with other UK DNOS, under an open
		licence. The development of the partially rated
		Power Electronic Devices will be from a range of
		companies therefore giving a wider pool of
		suppliers. The Expert Assessors also noted that
		this technology will deliver capabilities which are
		not currently available within the GB energy
		sector.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative and risky because the development
risky.		and deployment of partially rated PEDs at the
		scale proposed is not BAU practice. Expert
		Assessors also noted the risks associated with the
		Assessors also noted the risks associated with the

		development of automated LV design tool
		deployment of partially rated PEDs may not fully
		address the new challenges of managing LV
		networks in Net Zero scenario, however this
		should be offset by single-phase loads being
		balanced across all the three-phases.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders because the proposal includes a mix
		of networks, businesses, and research
		collaborators. The Expert Assessors noted that as
		the Project is developed from past SPEN and
		UKPN innovative developments such as Angle-DC,
		LV-Engine, FUN-LV, Active Response and SIF-
		Alpha Projects, some of their Project Managers
		were involved in the formulation of this proposal
		ensuring the knowledge from previous work is
		transferred.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
value for money and be		represent value for money to deliver the desired
costed competitively.		D-Suite LV Design tool and bring the direct and
		indirect benefits to consumers. The Project could
		articulate the material cost, deployment plan and
		impacts on consumers more clearly and a
		condition is recommended to ensure this is
		brought out in the project's life cycle, if funded.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and		have a robust methodology which gives
have a robust		confidence that it will be capable of progressing in
methodology so that they		a timely manner because a comprehensive
methodology so that they		

are capable of	approach and Project plan are articulated,
progressing in a timely	demonstrating a solid strategy for Project
manner.	management. Additionally, the key management
	and technical risks have been identified and are
	outlined in a risk register and the Project has
	presented a Gantt Chart which shows the work
	package relationships as well as their
	dependencies.

#### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project to effectively address the Innovation Challenge by aiming to balance the phases of the voltage and optimise the electrical network in distribution networks to increase efficiency, reduce losses and enhance useful life of electrical equipment. The development of the LV design tool will optimally place, size and simulate D-Suite PED, to maximise their utilisation and technical benefits and minimise their size and cost. The Expert Assessors did raise concerns that the Project did not clearly articulate the material cost, deployment plan, and impacts on consumers in the Application and during the interview process. The Expert Assessors recommend a specific condition to be added to address this issue.

The Project is innovative as other Projects have not explored partially rated PED. Additionally, the Project aims to develop a new product and tool that is not available in the GB energy market, and which will increase the Network's ability to reduce the negative impact of LCT integration, improve of the stability of the GB LV network as more Distributed Energy Resource (DER) connect and consequently help environmental sustainability and the move to net zero.

#### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approves this Project for funding. Ofgem agrees that the Project's innovation lies in novel LV design tool for optimal placement of PEDs and the development and deployment of partially rated PEDs (down to 30kVA) to increase efficiency, reduce losses and enhance useful life of electrical equipment. More household renewable generations and LCT can be connected to LV network as a result of the optimised LV network and lead to more carbon efficiency and savings and help reach a net zero energy system. Ofgem acknowledges the concerns raised by the Expert Assessors and has sought to address these concerns through the Project specific conditions.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

As part of the quarterly review meetings, the Project must provide comprehensive and detailed explanations on the material cost, deployment plan, and impacts on consumers. This should also be provided as part of the updates to the quantitative analysis of their costs and benefits.

# 8.1.3 10119039, Whole Electricity System Resilience Vulnerability Assessment (WELLNESS)

#### **Submitted Project description**

Physical climate risks and impacts will become increasingly critical to our existing and planned electricity infrastructure in the coming years and decades as we transform the system to meet net zero targets.

The WELLNESS Project will support decision makers across Great Britain's electricity systems as they plan and deliver interventions, upgrades, and routine maintenance to long lifecycle, high capital cost assets. We aim to provide decision support in the form of quantitative metrics developed from advanced modelling tools, hosted within a systemic resilience framework that ensures all components of a resilient system are consistently and transparently captured and valued.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		incorporating resilience and robustness as key
		and measurable considerations into future multi-
		energy system design because it endeavours to
		improve network resilience by developing novel,
		forward-looking modelling techniques. These
		techniques will help networks, asset owners and
		policy makers plan for physical interventions
		against extreme weather to assets.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential to		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
deliver a net benefit to gas		benefit to electricity consumers, as consumers
or electricity consumers		could benefit from fewer outages caused by
		weather incidents.
		There is potential for indirect financial benefits
		be generated due to greater network efficiencies
		and fewer repairs (leading to lower overall
		maintenance costs). It will also lead to better
		preparedness, improving operational costs.
		The Project's model covers England and Wales;
		however, the benefits are focussed upon the
		geographic area that the lead transmission and
		distribution network Project Partners are
		responsible for. As the Project moves into
		business as usual and more DNOs use the
		output solution the benefits can be extrapolated
		nationally.

		The Expert Assessors had to probe further from
		the Project to understand the full scale of these
		wider benefits, as the benefits were not clearly
		identified. Once the Project was able to clearly
		explain the benefits, the Expert Assessors were
		satisfied.
3: Projects must involve	Not met	The Expert Assessors concluded the Project was
network innovation.		insufficiently focussed upon network innovation
		for a Beta Phase Project. The core innovation
		described was with the Met Office's approach to
		weather data processing and forecasting. Which
		is within their operational remit, rather than
		significant changes to resilience planning and
		response activities which would be completed by
		the Project, resilience planning, in any event, is
		considered business as usual for network
		operations.
		The Expert Assessors observed that the main
		Project innovation is with the data provided by
		the Met Office and would like to see more
		substantive activities designed against how the
		data innovation would manifest itself in
		significant novel activities for network
		operations.
A. Duaia sta usuat u at	Mat	
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this
of compatibility manifests		Project to undermine the development of
or competitive markets.		from our or the will be open access and be and
		To and DNOs to use them at the Dusing other
		TOS and DINOS to use them at the Project's
		conclusion. The Expert Assessors felt this was

		potentially mitigated by the Project's use of the
		Monto Corlo toobrigue. This is a method for
		Monte Carlo technique. This is a method for
		simulating data to fill in gaps.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
innovative, novel and/or		be innovative and risky because it shifts decision
risky.		tools and data processes from the network
		operators to other digital and data infrastructure
		interface operators.
		The Expert Assessors commented that although
		similar tools had been developed in other
		countries, the Project was novel for GB. GB
		energy networks and retailers had not assessed
		the system's ability to withstand extreme
		weather shocks
		Weather Shocks.
		The Expert Assessors did raise some questions
		on how much the Project built on existing
		Projects that have already been delivered or
		were in progress. There were concerns that this
		Project could be duplicating efforts.
		Some Expert Assessors did question whether the
		Project was truly innovative. It could be seen as
		business as usual and/or funded via different
		mechanisms due to the overlap with other
		Projects.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range of		include participation from a sufficient range of
stakeholders.		stakeholders for this Eligibility Criterion to be
		met because it has leading academics from
		domestic and international universities. These

	include the University of Manchester, Imperial
	College London, the University of Cyprus, and
	Woxsen University.
	Each academic brings expertise on novel
	theoretical/modelling techniques which are being
	used to develop the Project. Additionally, the
	Project has both transmission and distribution
	networks on the Project team as well as a third
	party to oversee Project management activities.
Not met	The Expert Assessors did not consider the
	Project to be delivering value for money and be
	costed competitively.
	The Expert Assessors considered the justification
	of value for money as unclear and were unclear
	as to how the cost-benefit analysis figures had
	been generated. When asked about this, the
	Project team were unable to explain the
	methodology during the interview, citing that
	there are currently no baseline costings to act as
	a counterfactual for network resilience.
	Additionally, the Expert Assessors were not
	satisfied that the cost reduction return to the
	consumer was evidenced sufficiently. The Expert
	Assessors raised this during the interview but
	were still not satisfied with the responses
	provided.
	The Project also failed to engage with investors,
	Not met

	financial sectors who could contribute value to the cost-benefit analysis. Due to these reasons, the Expert Assessors did not consider the Project to have met this Eligibility Criterion.
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.	<ul> <li>The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology.</li> <li>One of their concerns was the lack of specificity and detail in the Project plan, which appeared generic.</li> <li>Despite the above, the Expert Assessors had no concerns about the Project's deliverability. It was noted that work packages, work package owners, Project milestones, a clear Project plan, and a sensible risk register had all been provided.</li> <li>As a result, the Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology and were confident it could progress in a timely manner.</li> </ul>

#### DO NOT FUND

The Expert Assessors did not recommend the Project for funding and the Project did not meet all Eligibility Criteria. The Expert Assessors considered the Project's scope to be too narrow given it is assessing whole-system resilience. The Project team were also unable to evidence the results of their cost-benefit analysis in the interview. The Project had not provided the required detail in the written Application (namely explaining the baseline used to quantify the benefits).

Additionally, the Project failed to engage stakeholders from financial sectors who could play a key and important role in supporting the Project's cost-benefit analysis. The Project was also unable to definitively say which tools and software were to be utilised and this detail was missing from the written Application.

Finally, the Expert Assessors felt the Project could be seen as business as usual due to existing work that has been done in this area. The Project does not build on past and ongoing work carried out on network resilience, putting it at an unnecessary disadvantage and creating the risk of duplication.

Whilst the Project failed two Eligibility Criteria, the Expert Assessors praised the impact the Project would make, and the wide range of expertise from leading academics. They encouraged the Project team to consider a resubmission once the above points had been addressed as the Project outcomes, if delivered effectively, would be attractive.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

### DO NOT FUND

Ofgem has agreed with the Expert Assessors that this Project should not be funded. Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors views that the Project does not meet Eligibility Criterion 3 ('Projects must involve network innovation'), and Eligibility Criterion 7 ('Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively'). The Project is not seen to be sufficiently additive to existing and previous activity on network modelling to plan for climate resilience. The Project is not seen as value for money as the cost-benefit analysis was not explained to an acceptable level in the written Application and the Project team struggled to explain the cost benefit methodology in the interview.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

## 8.1.4 10121136, Digital Inspector

#### Submitted Project description

Digital Inspector (DI) will be a platform that tracks, captures and processes all welding related data for gas pipeline construction Projects.

The Project will capture the pre-weld approval and qualification process, enabling more efficient interactions between network and contractor. Onsite welding activities will be tracked, validated (minimising errors, rework and costs) and monitored in real-time, giving full visibility of Projects for both contractor and network.

A comprehensive digital fabrication record, for every weld performed with DI, will gradually create a digital map of network assets, that can be queried to fully understand the composition and fabrication of an asset.

Eligibility Criterion	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		improving the understanding of trade-offs
		between increasing resilience, robustness and the
		cost implications and consumer trust and
		acceptability because it has the potential to
		improve understanding of robustness and
		operational response using digital techniques in
		gas networks.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors consider this Project to have
clearly identified potential		clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit
to deliver a net benefit to		to gas consumers because of the Project's
gas or electricity		assessment of the likely indirect financial savings
consumers		that will be generated. The solution has the
		potential to reduce the amount of time and

		resource for pipe maintenance activity. This is achievable through the improvement of process efficiency and efficacy, and the reduction of human error in record keeping through a digital solution. Additionally, financial waste is avoidable as networks will be able to identify and repair potential pipe failures before they happen. The Expert Assessors highlighted the data could be used for other scenarios. This includes better
		materials perform over time, improving welders' ability to understand which welds/materials are best used in which scenarios, and when certain welds are likely to need maintaining.
		It was noted that if the data collected was shared with all networks and all networks had the opportunity to contribute data, the potential for gas consumers to receive benefit increases. A Special Condition identified and recommended was the need for the Project to triage data openness and explore individual weld identification numbers and dissemination of that data.
3: Projects must involve network innovation.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to involve network innovation because it is developing a solution that does not presently exist for the gas networks. There was concern that this solution was more BAU than innovation. The Expert Assessors took the view that it was marginally innovative for the gas networks in the

		context of the SIF challenge addressed. This is
		because monitoring of this type is yet to take
		place in the gas sector, despite being the type of
		activity that would be observed to be standard
		incremental operational improvement for other
		sectors.
		However, the Expert Assessors did recognise that
		the ongoing requirement for this solution is
		uncertain as extent of iron piping weld repairs
		and the locations that will be necessitated in the
		future of the gas networks is uncertain pending
		policy decisions in 2026. There are still
		uncertainties around what the gas networks will
		be used for as well as their configuration, the
		ases being used, and the materials being used
		for nining in a Net Zero scenario. This means
		there is no way to know how much a monitoring
		solution of this type will be needed. These
		variables could dramatically alter the payback
		period and cost-benefit analysis of the Project
		period and cost-benefit analysis of the Project.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
undermine the		to have the potential to undermine the
development of		development of competitive markets because
competitive markets.		opportunities for the development of this market
		is limited. There are a likely to be few
		competitors, if any. Consequently, the risk of
		undermining market forces was viewed as low by
		the Expert Assessors in the context of this
		proposal. However, steps would need to be taken
		to avoid supplier lock-in, such as developing
		Application programme interfaces (APIs), and

		software whitepapers, alongside competitive
		procurements for services in business as usual.
5: Projects must be	Not Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Eligibility
innovative, novel and/or		Criterion to be not met. They concluded that they
risky.		did not consider the Project to be innovative and
		novel as this kind of digital monitoring is business
		as usual in other sectors. The Expert Assessors
		observed that the Project was simply a logical and
		intuitive next step rather than innovation.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders. The Project has a number of key
		stakeholders, including a gas distribution network
		(Cadent), the gas transmission network (National
		Gas), a globally leading Expert body on welding
		(TWI), a software and hardware Expert in welding
		monitors (Triton Electronics), a contractor
		specialising in pipeline welding Projects (United
		Living Infrastructure Services), and a consultancy
		specialising in high hazard industries (Human
		Systems Interactions).
		The Expert Assessors noted that the Application
		would have benefited from an Insurer, who could
		confirm the lower premiums the cost-benefit
		analysis is predicated on for example quantifying
		cost savings on premiums (because of the safer
		welds) as part of the first stage gate.
		There was also some concern about the lack of
--------------------------	---------	--
		other gas networks involved in the Project
		other gas networks involved in the Project.
7: Projects must provide	Not met	The Expert Assessors did not think this Project
value for money and be		delivers value for money and is not costed
costed competitively.		competitively.
		Significant accumptions were used for forecasting
		symmetric assumptions were used for forecasting
		extent of need for future weld inspections which
		needed greater justification. This made it difficult
		to accept the benefits without high levels of
		certainty.
		Furthermore, the Project team had only costed
		highest quality and most expensive materials,
		rather than considering a value for money
		assessment on more cost-effective approach
		which could still deliver requirements.
Projecto must be well	Not mot	The Expert Accessors did not consider the Project
o. Projects must be wen	Not met	
thought through and have		to have a robust methodology, and this did not
a robust methodology so		give them confidence that the Project will be
that they are capable of		capable of progressing in a timely manner. This
progressing in a timely		included concerns with the rigour of the Project
manner.		management documentation, such as the
		approach to costings and a lack of detail overall.
		Additionally, the Project requested a single lump
		sum rather than aligning portions of funding with
		stage gates throughout the Project. This opacity
		made it impossible for the Expert Assessors to
		confirm the Project is value for money

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has not met all the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Project is not recommended for funding.

The Expert Assessors appreciated the Project team's efforts to develop cost-benefit analysis despite a lack of data on future networks. However, the lack of detail provided on costs and the vague assumptions provided on benefits forecasted did not give them confidence that this Project represents good value for money at this time.

The benefits of the Project were described as providing opportunities to reduce human error in operational monitoring, whilst supporting welder upskilling through better understanding of welds in different circumstances. Additionally, the Project had the potential to avoid financial waste through more efficient and effective network maintenance activity. This is especially true if the data collected is shared with all networks and all networks can contribute.

The Project was not seen as innovative, novel and risky for the gas networks as it is largely an incremental improvement on current operational processes. The Expert Assessors considered it to be a natural next step for the gas networks. The Expert Assessors were pleased with the wide range of expertise contributed by the many Project Partners but would have liked to see more engagement from an insurer to validate the cost-benefit analysis.

Expert Assessors were not convinced by the robust Project methodology, and they did not find that the Project was providing value for money and costed competitively. This was due to Cadent's Project management work package which accounted for around a quarter of the Project costs, did not include a breakdown of activities, and requested a single lump sum rather than aligning portions of funding with stage gates throughout the Project. This opacity made it impossible for the Expert Assessors to confirm the Project is value for money.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority DO NOT FUND

Ofgem has agreed with the Expert Assessors that this Project should not be funded. The Expert Assessors agreed that the Project did not meet Eligibility Criteria 5 ('Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky'), Eligibility Criterion 7 ('Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively') and 8 ('Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner'). Ofgem agrees on the basis that the Project was not novel or risky, the Project management costs were an unusually high percentage of the overall Project costs, and the Project did not provide a breakdown to explain why. The costs of Project management were also not spread across the Project and its stage gates; rather it had been requested at a single point in the Project.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

# 8.1.5 10121486, Scenarios for Extreme Events

### **Submitted Project description**

Extreme events are low probability, high impact events that compromise energy security and could cause long-term economic consequences. Climate change, geopolitical shifts and energy decarbonisation are increasing our vulnerability to extreme events. Government currently lacks comprehensive approaches to quantify resilience - a proactive, customer-outcome focussed, whole systems approach to resilience planning is required.

Scenarios for Extreme Events will model the resilience of the GB whole energy system and seek out its vulnerabilities. It will quantify the impacts of extreme scenarios to electricity and gas consumers, providing NESO and subsequently government, with the evidence to implement costeffective resilience interventions and strategies.

**Eligibility Criterion** 

Met / Not Met

1: Projects must address the	Met	The Expert Assessors all agreed that the
Innovation Challenge set by		Project has addressed the Innovation
Ofgem.		Challenge because the focal outcomes of the
		Project aim to develop better capabilities for
		the Electricity System Operator preparing and
		responding to low likelihood, but high impact
		events developing resilience and robustness.
2: Projects must have clearly	Not Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this
identified potential to deliver		Project to have clearly identified a potential to
a net benefit to gas or		deliver a net benefit to electricity consumers
electricity consumers		because the core outputs of the Project are
		not distinctly more innovative and ambitious
		beyond incremental improvements in impact
		scenario creation (for which there is a lot of
		academic modelling and existing literature).
		The Expert Assessors considered that there
		were significant assumptions associated with
		the benefits meaning that the benefits to
		consumers were not sufficiently clear.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project
network innovation.		to involve Network Innovation due to it being
		led by the Electricity System Operator (ESO)
		with the intention to create a product to be
		used for ESO decision making. However, they
		questioned if significant levels of innovation
		were being delivered compared to that which
		should be expected within business-as-usual
		activities.

4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this
undermine the development		Project to undermine the development of
of competitive markets.		competitive markets because the intended
		outcome is a tool to be used by the Electricity
		System Operator, who does not engage in
		competitive market activities. The Expert
		Assessors did cite the ongoing need to deliver
		competitive third-party procurements for
		modelling or comparise to convice the colutions
		might be peeded, but this cap be adhered to
		through following trained are supercent
		through following typical procurement
		processes.
5: Projects must be	Not Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider the
innovative, novel and/or		Project to be innovative, novel and risky. The
risky.		Expert Assessors agreed that while there were
		some elements of novelty in the approach,
		these were not sufficient for the Eligibility
		Criterion to be met. The Expert Assessors
		considered that there were existing metrics
		and similar approaches to this type of
		modelling and could not differentiate where
		the innovation and novelty of the Project was.
		Additionally, the Expert Assessors commented
		that limited engagement from the DSOs had
		the effect of reducing the riskiness element.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project
participation from a range of		to include participation from a sufficient range
stakeholders.		of stakeholders for this Eligibility Criterion to
		be met because the Electricity System
		Operator, Transmission operators, distribution
	1	
		network operators, energy modelling expert

		capabilities, and software engineering
		companies are all represented. The Expert
		Assessors did take the view that the Project
		could be strengthened by additional
		commentary on the involvement of telecoms
		and other sectors in addition to further
		expanding on the dissemination plans to
		ensure meaningful engagement with the
		stakeholders detailed.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project
value for money and be		to would deliver value for money and is
costed competitively.		costed competitively because successful
		mitigation of extreme event impacts is likely
		to realise significant benefits to consumers
		and society more widely. However, the Expert
		Assessors challenged the ability to accurately
		evaluate the potential benefits, when by the
		Project's own definition, the actual impacts of
		these events are not understood thoroughly.
		The Project recognised this and had
		discounted their benefits significantly to
		address this concern and accordingly the
		Expert Assessors were satisfied that this
		Eligibility Criterion was met.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project
thought through and have a		to have a robust methodology which gives
robust methodology so that		confidence that it will be capable of
they are capable of		progressing in a timely manner based on the
progressing in a timely		material provided. Overall, the Expert
manner.		Assessors determined that the scope of work
		for integrating modelling and response for

	other infrastructure types (such as Telecoms
	and Water) could have been outlined more
	clearly. There were varying levels of detail
	between the written Application and
	interview. Furthermore, the Project team
	could have further expanded on the
	distinction of the scope of work for developing
	common technical data infrastructure between
	interdependent Projects. On balance, the
	Project was sufficiently well thought through
	to ensure that it was capable of progressing in
	a timely manner and the Expert Assessors
	considered the Eligibility Criterion to be met.

### DO NOT FUND

The Project did not meet all the Eligibility Criteria and the Expert Assessors did not recommend the Project for funding. The Expert Assessors considered that while the aims of the Project were aligned with the Innovation Challenge, the Project could not sufficiently demonstrate that there was a clear benefit to electricity consumers and Eligibility Criterion two was not met. This was because the Expert Assessors were not convinced that the Project would result in network investment decisions and ultimately lead to savings for the consumer. Additionally, the Expert Assessors found that the Project, while having some novel elements, was not sufficiently innovative, novel and risky for Eligibility Criterion five to be met.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority DO NOT FUND

Ofgem has agreed with the Expert Assessors that this Project should not be funded. Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors that the benefits to consumers were not sufficiently clear from the Application and that the Project was not found to be innovative, novel and/or risky. Ofgem agrees that the Project management costs were an unusually high percentage of the overall Project costs, and the Project did not provide a breakdown to explain why Project costs were requested at a single point in the Project rather than being spread throughout the life span of the Project.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

### 8.1.6 10123593, NextGen Electrolysis – Wastewater to Green Hydrogen

### Submitted Project description

Wales and West Utilities are partnering with HydroStar, Welsh-Water and NGED to look at two demonstrator Projects required from new electrolyser systems and the associated electrolyte that ensures resilience of hydrogen supply across the network, giving best value-for-money and energy-security within WWU's network, along with other UK-wide Gas-Distribution-Network (GDN) customers.

Current electrolysers focus on stack-efficiency and hydrogen purity without considering real-world manufacturing and operational constraints, and the high costs associated. This Project focusses on utilising impurified-water, e.g., rainwater, storm-overflow and industrial process wastewater as feedstock, which reduces operational constraints and costs for customers whilst enabling widescale uptake of low-carbon hydrogen

Eligibility Criteria

Met / Not Met

1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge because
set by Ofgem.		it has potential to significantly reduce the barriers
		to low-cost hydrogen production, whilst
		simultaneously reducing the environmental impact
		and geographical limitations of large-scale
		production of the gas. This aligned with one of the
		aims of the Innovation Challenge strengthening the
		UK's energy system robustness to support efficient
		roll out of new infrastructure.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
clearly identified potential		have demonstrated a clear benefit to gas
to deliver a net benefit to		consumers because it has demonstrated clear
gas or electricity		potential to reduce operational constraints and
consumers		costs for customers whilst enabling wide-scale
		uptake of low-carbon hydrogen. A reduction in
		initial Capex costs for the production of green
		hydrogen that utilises untreated water could result
		in improved accessibility of hydrogen across the
		network and a stimulated hydrogen economy. This
		could have significant environmental and system
		benefits which would flow onto the consumer in a
		scenario where hydrogen for industrial
		decarbonisation is taken forward. The Expert
		Assessors noted that the Project gave a strong
		qualitative approach to benefits in their answers
		and commented that these could have been
		supported by more quantified measures.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation as it demonstrated the
		potential capability of producing green hydrogen to

		support network decarbonisation, plus the Project
		specific aim of enhancing the range of water input
		streams that can be used to feed the electrolysers.
		Additionally, the Project cited the network
		integration elements including alignment
		opportunities for decarbonising industrial clusters.
		In cases where hydrogen lowers the overall system
		cost, or brings necessary functional benefit to the
		system, there is network benefit.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider that this
undermine the		Project would be likely to undermine the
development of		development of competitive markets because it is
competitive markets.		aiming to increase the competitive markets
		through decentralisation of production of green
		hydrogen, with an aim to lower production costs
		for this zero-emission gas. Deployments are at the
		micro-scale at this stage, and the range of
		stakeholders involved, as well as the dissemination
		plan, provides confidence to the assessor that
		information sharing will prevent undue competitive
		barriers from forming in the mid-term.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Project is considered innovative and risky
innovative, novel and/or		because it demonstrates the multiple different
risky.		hydrogen uptake scenarios which could be
		experienced in the development of an innovatively
		operated, flexible and distributed gas network. The
		essence of the Project is the testing of a novel
		technology, as the technology process removes the
		need for water purification and addresses the
		issues of rare and expensive metals by utilising
		stainless steel electrodes. There is significant

		technology rick in the proposed electrolycer
		system, in particular around its lifetime, cost and
		performance, and how this is impacted by different
		water purity levels. Additionally, there is a market
		risk around hydrogen, with the direction of travel
		for a hydrogen economy being uncertain.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders for this Eligibility Criterion to be met
		because the Project brings new industry Project
		Partners Yeo Valley and Welsh Water and two new
		academic sub-contractors, strengthening delivery
		and expertise being applied to the Project. The
		Project offers a broad range of expertise and
		experience from industry is welcomed and are vital
		for successful Project delivery through providing
		operational sites to trial blending.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
value for money and be		value for money and costed competitively because
costed competitively.		the costs appear appropriate and in line with
		industry norms. The resourcing costs are
		reasonable, and the clear roles and responsibilities
		outlined provide confidence to these costings and
		what is being delivered. The Expert Assessors did
		find some of the costs in relation to materials to be
		on the higher side but on balance viewed the
		Project as providing value for money
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and		have a robust methodology which gives confidence
have a robust		that it will be capable of progressing in a timely

methodology so that they	m	anner because the Project plan and has been
are capable of	th	oroughly completed, with clear work packages
progressing in a timely	ar	nd logical stage gates that help to de-risk the
manner.	Pr	oject. The detail provided in the risk register and
	re	source requirements is exceptionally good and
	de	emonstrates the consortium have mitigated any
	lia	ability exposed to the Project, however the Expert
	As	ssessors noted that the mitigation of technical
	ris	sk would need to be effectively managed in
	m	onitoring. The Expert Assessors recommended
	th	at a stage gate be added that was related to the
	fu	nctional performance of the electrolyser as there
	is	inherent technical risk due to the novelty of the
	el	ectrolyser.

The Expert Assessors recommended the Project for funding as all the Eligibility Criteria were met. The Expert Assessors considered that the Project met the Innovation Challenge and made a strong case for the innovation justification, capturing the problem and articulating the solution effectively. The solution has the potential to substantially reduce Capex costs, reducing the cost to the consumer and resulting in environmental benefits. The proposed solution offers removal of water treatment processes, providing flexibility and resilience for the production of hydrogen, which could provide resilience for the wider system. The Expert Assessors were impressed with the Project's approach to Project management but recommended that a technical stage gate addressing the efficiency and output of the electrolyser would adequately mitigate risks in relation to technical viability.

# **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approve this Project for funding. Ofgem agrees that the Project presented the potential to substantially reduce Capex costs which in turn reduces the cost to the consumer with the addition of environmental benefits. The Project also provides flexibility and resilience for the production of hydrogen by offering solutions for the removal of the water treatment process.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

As part of the Kick Off Meeting, the Project must suggest a suitable timeline for an additional stage gate to be added related to the functional performance of the electrolyser as there is an inherent technical risk due to the novelty of the electrolyser.

### 8.1.7 10123649, Multi Resilience

### **Submitted Project description**

Resilience is increasingly important as customers rely more on electricity for heat and transportation, with greatest value in rural locations that have a heightened risk of outage. Proliferation of Low Carbon Technologies across LV and HV systems present opportunities, if coordinated appropriately, for delivery of resilience services that maintain customer supply during unplanned grid outages. Previous Projects have demonstrated separate approaches via LV-connected and HV-connected resilient DERs. Coordination of such solutions can enhance the value case of resilience. The Project will compare and contrast technologies and optimise hybrid Applications of the two approaches to deliver cost-effective resilience to customers.

**Eligibility Criteria** 

Met / Not Met

1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors agree that the Project is
the Innovation Challenge		well aligned with the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		developing technical, organisational and
		commercial innovation including using novel
		multi-energy system configurations for increasing
		system resilience because it will utilise microgrid
		and battery system control systems to provide
		service provision to consumers, particularly in
		rural areas, during disruption and outage events.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors agree that there is a clear
clearly identified potential		potential for the Project to deliver benefit to
to deliver a net benefit to		electricity consumers through delivery of
gas or electricity		improved resilience and reliability of services. The
consumers		Expert Assessors did question the Project's
		assumption that consumers would be prepared to
		incur additional costs for this, and the Project
		would need to consider the affordability and
		apportionment of costs for the BAU solution.
		The Project showed a clear differentiation of
		benefits accrued within the benefits case and the
		Expert Assessors were convinced by the
		justification.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors agree that the Project does
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it will enable
		improved procurement by the distribution
		network operators and enable proxy control of
		resilience services from battery systems. Other
		distributed energy resources could also be utilised
		if they happen to be available around trial sites,

		but they are not planned within the scope of
		delivery.
4. Duala ale accesto a ch	NA - L	
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider that this
undermine the		Project is likely undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets because the utilisation of the
competitive markets.		battery system is separate to the existing
		markets and revenue streams leveraged by grid
		scale battery storage systems, and therefore the
		Project will not disrupt the operation of
		competitive markets.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors agree that the Project is
innovative novel and/or		inpovative and novel because of the use of
ricky		multiple microgrid control at the feeder level and
hisky:		operating islanded resilience protocols and control
		systems at the LV level is nevel and innevative
		The configuration of the Multi Decilionee microgrid
		The configuration of the Multi Resilience microgrid
		solution will enable continued provision of
		services over mid-time period during outage
		periods.
		The Expert Assessors strongly challenged the
		Project on the additionality and differentiation of
		the Project from the related earlier innovation
		Projects that this has followed on from and were
		comfortable that the Project is very additional and
		distinct from those activities.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors agree that the Project
participation from a range		includes participation from a range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders because there is sufficient expertise
		for the scope of work. There is representation
		from an additional network, a technology

		provider, and smart grid small innovative
		business.
		The Expert Assessors would welcome the
		inclusion of further cyber security expertise
		focussing on the security of embedded OT
		software for the operation of the solution.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered that Project
value for money and be		would deliver value for money and that it is
costed competitively.		costed competitively because the cost savings
		projected are credible and significantly greater
		than the potential costs of Project delivery. These
		benefits would be particularly significant should
		the learnings be harnessed to enable this to
		become business as usual. There are also
		unquantified secondary savings such as enabling
		more and earlier connections and increased
		potential connection and revenue streams for
		distributed energy resources.
		The Expert Assessors raised questions around the
		costs of battery repurposing compared to
		purchasing and utilising new systems, given the
		ongoing market cost reductions in battery storage
		systems. The Project provided a response giving
		assurance that an assessment of best value had
		been made, and that the costs of repurposing
		battery systems for these purposes was cost-
		preferable and provides flexibility of needs
		deployment for the selected trial site.
		Furthermore, the Project gave a good rationale of
		how the usage of repurposed assets de-risks the

		delivery of the Project and mitigates any potential
		delivery of the Project and mitigates any potential
		supply chain delays. The Expert Assessors felt this
		was a good justification and rationale for delivery
		at best value.
		The Expert Assessors would have liked greater
		understanding of subcontractor costs for the
		academic research Project Partners and for
		battery operators, but evaluation of this could be
		handled by competitive procurement and stage
		gate assessments.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and have		have a robust methodology which gives
a robust methodology so		confidence that it will be capable of progressing in
that they are capable of		a timely manner.
progressing in a timely		
manner		The Expert Assessors challenged whether some
		aspects of scope could be considered and
		addressed more, in particular the evaluation of
		cyber security requirements for operation of
		integrated microgrids. Furthermore, Expert
		Assessors were keen to understand how
		standards and industry protocols were being
		investigated and shaped, with the Project team
		demonstrating good understanding of the
		standards for elements such as communication
		protocols for operation.
		F
		The Expert Assessors recognised that the use of
		stage gates had been deployed to de-risk
		activities as the Project progresses, but more
		detailed development of the success criteria for

consideration (or not) at the early stage of the
Project should be required.
Furthermore, the Expert Assessors probed the
reasons for needing a long-extended period to
undertake trial analysis but were comfortable with
the response that this would also include
integration of data outputs into models, systems,
and business operations.

### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors agree that the Project is aligned with the Innovation Challenge because it aims to utilise microgrid and battery system control systems to provide service provision to consumers, particularly in rural areas, during disruption and outage events. The Expert Assessors agree that the Project could deliver a net benefit to electricity consumers through benefit to consumers through delivery of improved resilience and reliability of services. The use of multiple microgrid control at the feeder level and operating islanded resilience protocols and control systems at the LV level is novel and innovative. The Expert Assessors were satisfied by the Project's clear demonstration of how Multi Resilience and its potential benefits are distinct from the previous Micro Resilience Project.

## **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approves this Project for funding. Ofgem finds the Project's innovation lies in its utilisation battery system control systems in microgrids. This will deliver benefits to both electricity customers as it will deliver resilience and reliability of services to consumers. Additionally, Ofgem finds the Project could deliver a net benefit to electricity consumers by improving resilience and reliability of services.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

Prior to the Funding Party beginning any works on the Project, it must provide to the monitoring officer a breakdown of how the Project plans for consumers to incur additional costs and how affordability and apportionment has been considered for BAU.

Prior to the Funding Party beginning any works on the Project, it must provide to the monitoring officer a breakdown of how the Project plans to include further cyber security expertise which focusses on the security of embedded OT software for the operation of the Multi Resilience solution.

As part of stage gate 1, the Project must provide the monitoring officer with a breakdown of the subcontractor costs for their academic research Partners and for battery operators.

# 8.1.8 10124630, REACT

### **Submitted Project description**

REACT (Rapid Evaluation Areal Connection Tool) aims to create a geographical planning tool providing a diverse group of stakeholders with a unique understanding of the complexities of upgrading the power grid to deliver Net Zero. Visualising power-flows and the contracted substation pipeline in the context of other decarbonisation pathways, REACT will help users identify the best possible locations to connect to the network and streamline the connection process where limited pre-Application information impacts formal Applications. Optimising the location of demand (e.g., hydrogen) and generation will increase the efficient use o existing assets and the effective roll-out of new infrastructure.

**Eligibility Criteria** 

Met / Not Met

1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		improving the understanding of robustness in
		future energy system configurations and
		develop solutions to improve and strengthen it
		because it will support the efficient rollout of
		new infrastructure and ties into the wider
		context of increasingly electricity demand and
		the need for rapid decarbonisation.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
to deliver a net benefit to		benefit to gas and electricity consumers
gas or electricity		because of reduced curtailment, faster
consumers		connection times, optimal and efficient network
		investment and reduced direct, indirect carbon,
		and savings to consumers.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it has
		identified a problem for both TOs and
		connecting customers in that the process and
		available data is currently suboptimal for
		connecting customers and network investment.
		However, the Expert Assessors did consider
		that there are areas like demand flexibility and
		long duration energy storage (LDES) that
		needs further evaluation and consideration into
		the Project.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider that this
undermine the		Project is likely to undermine the development
		of competitive markets because the tool it is

development of		developing will be available to all connecting
competitive markets.		customers and, ultimately, all GB TO network
		customers and the Project does not preclude
		competitors from offering similar services.
		However, the Expert Assessors did raise issues
		around the resilience of background intellectual
		property to ensure the Project is protected if
		one or more Project Partners exit the Project
		prior to completion and for post Beta public
		sharing of information. The Project affirmed
		that the Project retains the background IP if
		this occurs.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
innovative novel and/or	met	he innovative because it is bringing a new and
ricky		belistic approach to a longstanding problem
TISKY.		The Project was able to show a detailed risk
		register on how it would tackle this risk. The
		Export Accessors did question whether the
		Draiget was innevative as information such as
		project was innovative as information such as
		capacity maps and queue mornation were
		aready available but agreed there was enough
		elements together in a nevel way
		elements together in a novel way.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders for this Eligibility Criterion to be
		met because of an appropriate degree of
		representation from stakeholders represented
		across the energy system. More detail on the
		actual users engaged and the terms of
		reference for the user groups would have been

		helpful and should be provided as a condition
		of the Project.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
value for money and be		be delivering value for money and be costed
costed competitively.		competitively because the costs are reasonable
		considering the scope of the work, and the
		contributions in kind are considerable. The
		Project also provided additional clarity by
		providing a list of the sub-contractors and their
		costs.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and have		have a robust methodology which gives
a robust methodology so		confidence that it will be capable of progressing
that they are capable of		in a timely manner because the Project
progressing in a timely		methodology is well thought through, adheres
manner.		to agile principles and the partners have a
		track record in delivery.

### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project effectively addresses the Innovation Challenge because it will support the efficient rollout of new infrastructure and ties into the wider context of increasingly electricity demand and the need for rapid decarbonisation. However, the Expert Assessors did note that an extension of the number of use cases beyond the original hydrogen focus was required by the Project and have proposed a Project specific condition to this effect. The Expert Assessors did question whether the Project was innovative as information such as capacity maps and queue information were already available but agreed there was enough network innovation as it was bringing these elements together in a novel way in an important area of the network connections process.

### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority DO NOT FUND**

Ofgem does not agree with the Expert Assessors and have not approved this Project for funding because, in Ofgem's view, it did not meet Eligibility Criterion 5 (Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky), because it did not consider gathering data on capacity maps and queue information is innovative, novel or risky as this is viewed as being part of business-as-usual operations.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

# 8.1.9 10126543, Connected & Autonomous grid aerial survey, inspection, monitoring and rapid response (CAGSIMR)

### Submitted Project description

Project CAGSIMR addresses the lack of high-quality asset condition information about the UK's electricity grid by deploying an advanced autonomous Beyond Visual Line of Sight drone solution that can efficiently capture asset condition data, optimised for AI/ML analysis, at national scale.

The Project will enable network licensees to access significantly more and higher quality asset condition data, facilitating more strategic maintenance work, resulting in a more resilient and robust grid capable of supporting more efficient roll-out of new infrastructure.

The Project builds upon previous NIA Projects, VICAP and BVLOS, and involves key partners NGET, NGED, SSEN-T and sees.ai.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address the	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
Innovation Challenge set by		have addressed the Innovation Challenge by
Ofgem.		developing technical, organisational and
		commercial innovation including using novel
		multi-energy system configurations for
		increasing system resilience because the
		Project aims to improve the network resilience
		and robustness through enhancement of
		network asset condition data using Beyond
		Visual Line of Sight (BVLOS) drone survey. The
		use of autonomous drones, certified for BVLOS
		flight and surveying, coupled with enhanced
		Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning
		(ML) data analysis will improve maintenance
		efficiency, fault finding and decision making for
		better grid management.
2: Projects must have clearly	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
identified potential to deliver		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
a net benefit to gas or		benefit to electricity consumers because better
electricity consumers		data could result in more effective network
		management, improved system availability and
		more cost-effective use of maintenance
		resources and planned interventions, which will
		lead to reduced costs for electricity consumers.
		The Expert Assessors noted that during the
		interview, the Project said that the existing
		options do not provide scalability to improve
		system level efficiency.

3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it would
		set several precedents and is driving progress
		in regulation regarding automated BVLOS
		drone flights in non-segregated airspace. The
		Project is also innovative because it goes
		beyond the standard industry practices in
		energy networks regarding remote piloted
		(unmanned) drones with the new control
		system to monitor the network asset. The
		Expert Assessors noted the innovation will also
		come from AI/ML in a later phase once the
		required data is collected.
4. Projecto must pot	Mot	The Expert Accessors did not consider that this
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors and not consider that this
undermine the development		Project is likely to undermine the development
of competitive markets.		of competitive markets because the Project
		establishes the rules under which unmanned
		autonomous drones could be operated to
		collect data across the networks, which
		provides the ability for others to use the rules
		and data. One Expert Assessor did raise the
		concern that a Project Partner, Sees.ai, would
		become the only provider offering this solution,
		compromising the competitive market. To
		address this concern during the interview, the
		Project conceded that whilst that Project
		Partner's ability to prove this capability within
		the Project does enhance its market position,
		that does not preclude other market entrants
		competing to provide drone services in BAU.

5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
innovative, novel and/or		be innovative and risky because there is a
risky.		distinct risk of Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)
		regulation and some risks on wayleaves if the
		landowners challenged the new drone survey.
		The Project represents a fundamentally new
		approach to network asset condition monitoring
		and data collection which is not currently used.
		The drone's control system is also innovative
		and novel.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range of		include participation from a sufficient range of
stakeholders.		stakeholders as it has expanded from earlier
		NIA work between the Project lead (NGET) and
		the technology developer (sees.ai) to include
		other network companies (NGED and SSEN-T).
		Apart from the network companies directly
		involved in the Project, the proposal also
		involves a range of other stakeholders such as
		CAA, Ofcom and landowners, all of whom are
		critical for the Project success. The Expert
		Assessors suggested that it will be helpful to
		establish a mechanism for the communication
		with the reminder of Transmission Operators
		and Distribution Network Operators.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
value for money and be		be delivering value for money because the
costed competitively.		Project articulated a clear pathway to BAU
		adoption and there is an apparent significant
		private sector financial contribution to Project
		costs (>30%). Overall, the Project provides

		value for money as potential benefits, both
		direct and indirect, greatly outweigh the
		potentially inefficient Project cost. The Expert
		Assessors did raise concerns that in the
		discounted market rates submitted one of the
		Project Partners appears above average even
		after the discount is factored in and
		recommend that Ofgem and IUK do financial
		due diligence. Separately, given the value of
		data (estimated to be £1.2M) to the network
		companies, the Expert Assessors felt that they
		should be contributing more than 10%
		minimum as this Project seemingly provides a
		great benefit to them in addition to long term
		innovation gains it might unlock.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and have a		have a robust methodology which gives
robust methodology so that		confidence that it will be capable of progressing
they are capable of		in a timely manner. The Project is building on
progressing in a timely		an established team dynamic with clear goals,
manner.		clear breakdown of scope and tasks between
		•
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners'
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners' previous experience give confidence that the
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners' previous experience give confidence that the Project will be able to progress in a timely
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners' previous experience give confidence that the Project will be able to progress in a timely manner. One Expert Assessor raised a concern
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners' previous experience give confidence that the Project will be able to progress in a timely manner. One Expert Assessor raised a concern that the Project is not expected to start until
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners' previous experience give confidence that the Project will be able to progress in a timely manner. One Expert Assessor raised a concern that the Project is not expected to start until the preceding NIA Project has completed at the
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners' previous experience give confidence that the Project will be able to progress in a timely manner. One Expert Assessor raised a concern that the Project is not expected to start until the preceding NIA Project has completed at the end of 2024. This will require a review of the
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners' previous experience give confidence that the Project will be able to progress in a timely manner. One Expert Assessor raised a concern that the Project is not expected to start until the preceding NIA Project has completed at the end of 2024. This will require a review of the detailed work scope once the outcomes of the
		the team and an appropriate delivery plan. The Project methodology and the Project Partners' previous experience give confidence that the Project will be able to progress in a timely manner. One Expert Assessor raised a concern that the Project is not expected to start until the preceding NIA Project has completed at the end of 2024. This will require a review of the detailed work scope once the outcomes of the NIA work become clear.

### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project to effectively address the Innovation Challenge by improving the network resilience and robustness through enhancement of network asset condition data using Beyond Visual Line of Sight (BVLOS) drone survey to improve maintenance efficiency, fault finding and decision making for better grid management. Better asset condition data could result in more effective network management, improved system availability and better, more cost effective, use of maintenance resources and planned interventions, which will lead to reduced costs for electricity consumers. The Project is driving progress in regulation regarding automated BVLOS drone flights in non-segregated airspace to monitor and collect data on network asset condition. It drives innovation by going beyond the standard industry practices in energy networks regarding remote piloted (unmanned) drones with the new control system to monitor and collect information on the network asset.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

### **DO NOT FUND**

Ofgem disagrees with the Expert Assessors and has rejected funding for this Project because the Project does not meet Eligibility Criterion 3. This is because the networks are responsible for ensuring equipment safety and reliability; the Project simply offers an alternative method of achieving this and does not contribute to moving the GB energy system toward Net Zero. Ofgem also noted the Expert Assessors' concerns for Eligibility Criterion 7 and has determined that it had not been met because the discounted market rate for the work submitted by the Project is disproportionately high for the development of an automated drone control system and alternative data collection method.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

## 8.1.10 10127702, Phased Switch System

### **Submitted Project description**

The Phased Switch System allows for dynamic phase reconfiguration of a Low Voltage (LV) feeder cable to reduce phase imbalance. Reducing phase imbalance;

- reduces the load in the most heavily loaded phase, decreasing the chance of incorrect fuse operations
- improves the utilisation of the cable's capacity, deferring reinforcement
- reduces loses on the LV feeder and associated CO2 emissions
- reduces the likelihood of customer voltage issues preventing participation in flexibility services or other markets

This Project further develops and tests an existing prototype to market readiness while providing a support tool for planning and optimisation of deployment.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have met the Innovation Challenge Criterion
set by Ofgem.		because the Project introduces an innovative
		method to optimise the Low Voltage (LV)
		network and boost the uptake of Low Carbon
		Technologies (LCT). Furthermore, the Project
		addresses the significant losses in the LV
		distribution network, which is where the
		majority of losses transpire. This approach not
		only mitigates these losses but consequently
		contributes to additional resilience across all
		tiers of the electricity network. Such

		improvements have the potential to become the
		standard business as usual practices for an
		extended period.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential to		have clearly identified the potential to deliver a
deliver a net benefit to gas		net benefit to electricity consumers because,
or electricity consumers		following the successful installation of these
		Phased Switch System (PSS) devices on LV
		feeders, there will be reductions in operating
		costs of the LV distribution network as the
		feeder and transformer losses will decrease.
		Successful deployment of PSS will lead to
		reduced disruption to consumers and avoid
		voltage imbalance. As fewer unintended fuse
		operations will result, this will give rise to
		reduced or deferred network reinforcement
		need.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it will result
		in a different way of working for the DNOs and
		the current methods for managing LV network
		will change. It aims to move a novel network
		technology from demonstration to a level ready
		for deployment and allows the development of
		new planning tools for future networks.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider that this
undermine the development		Project would be likely to undermine the
of competitive markets		development of competitive markets because it
		is envisaged that other DNOs will be involved as
		the Project evolves via the Stakeholder
		The Froject evolves via the Stakeholder

		Engagement Panel and the proposed software
		for identifying the deployment of the proposed
		product will be openly shared across networks,
		allowing for other competitors to use the data as
		suited by them. The Expert Assessors also noted
		that following successful trials in GB, the
		manufacturer intends to introduce the PSS
		device to other European markets as well.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
innovative, novel and/or		be innovative and risky because the PSS is a
risky.		new device that needs to be further developed
		along with deployment tools and extensively
		tested at the system level before it is fully
		deployed. The PSS device is essentially a tool
		for reducing phase imbalances in LV feeders and
		this will ensure a reduction in (a) feeder and
		transformer losses, (b) the need for expensive
		network reinforcement (such as cable overlays
		or construction of secondary substations), (c)
		disruption of customer power supply and (d)
		improves demand side response.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project
participation from a range of		Partners to be sufficient for the Project because
stakeholders.		it has a strong consortium, with circa 50% of the
		GB Networks, along with innovators, academic
		institution and industry Project Partners. The
		Project also recognises the need to engage
		customers where the devices are trialled to
		ensure there are no adverse impacts detected.

7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
value for money and be		be likely to deliver value for money and be
costed competitively.		costed competitively because the Project
		demonstrated good understanding of their
		Project plan and budget allocation, the balance
		of cost between Project Partners is acceptable
		and the Project is based on considerable prior
		learning and experience of real world. The
		Project will also use existing energy system
		research facility, test and demonstrative
		environment at the Power Network
		Demonstration Centre, reducing the cost of the
		Project.
	Mal	
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it will be capable of progressing
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it will be capable of progressing in a timely manner because the Project
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it will be capable of progressing in a timely manner because the Project submitted a robust methodology, and it has in
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it will be capable of progressing in a timely manner because the Project submitted a robust methodology, and it has in the past successfully delivered a NIA Project.
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it will be capable of progressing in a timely manner because the Project submitted a robust methodology, and it has in the past successfully delivered a NIA Project. The fact that the NIA Project Partners are still
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it will be capable of progressing in a timely manner because the Project submitted a robust methodology, and it has in the past successfully delivered a NIA Project. The fact that the NIA Project Partners are still involved in the Project gives additional
8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it will be capable of progressing in a timely manner because the Project submitted a robust methodology, and it has in the past successfully delivered a NIA Project. The fact that the NIA Project Partners are still involved in the Project gives additional confidence.

### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project to effectively address the Innovation Challenge by introducing an innovative method to improve the Low Voltage (LV) network and boost the uptake of Low Carbon Technologies (LCT). The Project mitigates the significant losses in the LV distribution network and consequently contributes to additional resilience across all tiers of the electricity network by increasing capacity of existing cables and ability to accommodate more LCT. Following the installation of these PSS devices on LV feeders, there will be reductions in operating costs of the LV distribution network as the feeder and transformer losses will decrease. As fewer unintended fuse operations will result, this will give rise to reduced network reinforcement. The Expert Assessors also considered this Project to have clearly identified the potential to deliver a net benefit to electricity consumers because the successful deployment of the PSS will lead to reduced disruption to consumers and avoid voltage imbalance. Furthermore, the Project builds on previous Projects (Silversmith NIA Project, UKPN NIA Project, SMITN Project) in a coherent way and seeks to develop a fully commercial solution that networks can use.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

#### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approves this Project for funding. The Project's innovation lies in the new device – Phased Switch System and the network planning tool. Ofgem agrees that the Project is aligned with the Innovation Challenge as the successful deployment of PSS is likely to mitigate the significant losses in the LV distribution network and consequently contribute to additional resilience across all tiers of the electricity network by increasing capacity of existing cables and the LV network's ability to accommodate more LCT. To address the concerns raised by Expert Assessors on how the planning tool can be effectively used by other networks, Ofgem has decided to include the special condition below.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

As part of the annual reporting, the funding party must outline how the planning tool will be used by other networks.

As part of the Project's commercial strategy updates, the Project must include reference to how the planning tool will be made available - commercially including how if any benefits will be reflected back to the end users.

## 8.1.11 10127933, CReDo+

### **Submitted Project description**

CReDo+ will develop the Climate Resilience Demonstrator into the Climate Resilience Decision Optimiser digital twin and data sharing platform, enhancing resilience investment planning and reporting. The Project will scale the CReDo technology across the electricity and gas sectors to understand infrastructure interdependencies and cascading risk from extreme weather including flooding, extreme heat, and strong winds. Tools will be developed to encode tacit subject matter Expert knowledge into new asset risk models, with a risk modelling framework to cascade asset failures through individual networks, between networks, and across sectors including water and telecoms. This will build whole system climate resilience.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to have
the Innovation Challenge		addressed the Innovation Challenge by improving
set by Ofgem.		the understanding of robustness in future energy
		system configurations and develop solutions to
		improve and strengthen it because it demonstrates
		novel approaches to resilience as well as facilitating
		efficient rollout of new infrastructure in response to
		the growing issue of climate resilience.

2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to have
clearly identified potential		clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to
to deliver a net benefit to		gas and electricity consumers because it has the
gas or electricity		potential to support network licensee users with
consumers		resilience investment planning and adaptation
		decision-making in the face of climate change and
		risks from extreme weather. This results in more
		efficient planning contributing to more efficient
		reinforcement costs. The Expert Assessors did
		however note that questions remain about whether
		the approach and benefits scale to a national level
		which should be explored further during the project.
		This is an area that the Project will aim to address in
		is commercial plan.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it is taking a
		new and holistic approach to the core processes of
		asset planning and operations in light of climate
		resilience.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project to
undermine the		be likely to undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets because there is a clear plan to
competitive markets.		disseminate and share lessons from the Project
		enabling competitors to develop similar processes.
		The Expert Assessors did comment that it was
		important that the Project kept its source code open
		source.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative and risky because the concept of
risky.		integrated modelling, cascading risks of climate

		impact across different asset types, different sectors
		and different data owners is inherently very
		complex. As a strategically significant issue has
		been identified, the management of the interfaces
		and data sharing between different entities raises
		risk around commercial and security constraints
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders for this Eligibility Criterion to be met
		because it has participation from the relevant
		sectors (energy, water, telecoms) and from a wider
		range of energy network businesses, government
		agency, a university, and an innovation accelerator.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
value for money and be		delivering value for money and be costed
costed competitively.		competitively because the overall magnitude of the
		costs appears in proportion to the scale and
		ambition of the task being attempted and the
		potential impact and benefits. The Expert Assessors
		also noted that the cost breakdowns of Project
		Partners were clear and seem reasonable overall,
		although there was concern that it was unclear how
		much 'scale up' and extension to a national level is
		feasible beyond the minimum viable product.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have
thought through and have		a robust methodology which gives confidence that it
a robust methodology so		will be capable of progressing in a timely manner
that they are capable of		because it has a clearly articulated Project plan with
progressing in a timely		clear stage gates. Supporting tasks around
manner.		stakeholder engagement are clearly mapped out and
	there is a well-developed risk register. The Expert	
--	--	
	Assessors did raise concerns around the resources	
	that would be required for a national roll out of the	
	solution. This is an area that the Project will aim to	
	address in its commercial plan.	

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project effectively addresses the Innovation Challenge and is an ambitious, innovative and potentially transformative initiative. It was acknowledged that the Project has potential to benefit gas and electricity consumers by aiding network licensee users in resilience investment planning and adaptation decisions, leading to more efficient reinforcement costs. The Project was noted for its network innovation, holistic approach to asset planning, and operations in light of climate resilience. The Project was not seen as undermining competitive market development due to its plans for open-source dissemination. The Project's innovative and complex integrated modelling of climate impacts was acknowledged as risky. Sufficient stakeholder participation is noted but the Project would benefit from reassessing its target stakeholders for national scaling. The Project was considered value for money with a clear cost breakdown, though concerns were raised about the costs of scaling beyond the minimum viable product. Lastly, the Project's robust methodology and clear Project plan were noted, despite concerns about resources for a national rollout.

### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria and addresses the Innovation Challenge and that this Application is recommended for funding. Ofgem agree with the Expert Assessors that this Project is an ambitious, innovative and potentially transformative initiative that has the potential to deliver cross sector benefits to customers beyond the networks focus of the Strategic Innovation Fund.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

At the Kick Off meeting, the Project must outline how the design authority is structured, how it discharges its responsibility and how it reports upwards to the steering committee.

### 8.1.12 10127934, Connectrolyser

### **Submitted Project description**

Connectrolyser will optimise the flexible operation of Hydrogen Production Facilities (HPFs) by responding to the needs of hydrogen users as well as needs of the electricity network. The solution allows DNOs to avoid traditional firm capacity connections, which could take longer and costlier to consumers and developers. Connectrolyser provides opportunities for HPFs to support security of supply and other flexibility offerings.

Up to 8GW of electrolysers are predicted to connect to UK distribution networks by 2050. This Project could save up to  $\pounds$ 4.8bn in network reinforcement costs across GB by dynamically managing the system for whole system optimisation.

Eligibility Criterion	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		improving understanding of trade-offs between
		increasing resilience, robustness and the cost
		implications and consumer trust & acceptability in
		the context of a net zero transition because the
		issue of flexible hydrogen connection to the
		electricity network is a comprehensive system

		problem which has been well articulated in the
		proposal. This requires an understanding of the
		implications of flexibility both in front of and behind
		the meter. However, the Expert Assessors did note
		that the Application could have been strengthened
		by including quantifiable evidence to further prove
		this justification.
2: Proiects must have	Not Met	The Expert Assessors do not consider the Project to
clearly identified potential		have met this Eligibility Criterion because there
to deliver a net benefit to		were concerns that the benefits described in the
aas or electricity		Cost Benefit Analysis case were dependent on
consumers		being awarded a secondary phase of Beta funding.
		despite this not being a quaranteed outcome. The
		Expert Assessors felt that the Application could
		have been strengthened if the Project had clearly
		articulated the specific net benefits of the first
		phase in isolation with quantifiable metrics relating
		to the Most Viable Product of the digital twin
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Project is considered to involve network
network innovation.		innovation as it seeks to mitigate the risks
		associated with the flexible connection of hydrogen
		production facilities, which are novel and have not
		yet been integrated into electricity networks. The
		rationale for employing a digital twin approach to
		de-risk the process prior to physical
		implementation was considered reasonable. The
		Expert Assessors agreed that the Project has the
		potential to facilitate the connection of hydrogen
		production facilities in locations where connection
		costs and timescales would otherwise be
		prohibitive. However, although the Expert

		Assessors agreed on the Project's potential, it was agreed that the Application could have been enhanced by greater sponsorship and engagement from other Distribution Network Operators (DNOs) and Gas Distribution Networks (GDNs). This involvement would further substantiate that this proposal is indeed network innovation, and it would
		highlight the strong strategic need for this across all networks and alternative connected systems.
4: Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets.	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project to be likely to undermine the development of competitive markets because there are limited opportunities for competitive markets at the interface between demand-side energy services and electricity network control systems and there is no market-ready solution to the issues raised. The Expert Assessors did however raise concerns that the solution's Intellectual Property will sit predominantly with the hydrogen developer rather than the network, and without sufficient ambition to subject this software solution and data to open data triaging, this might provide the product provider with an unnecessarily large market advantage.
innovative, novel and/or risky.	Met	innovative and risky because the performance of the underlying technology in flexible duty cycles is in the early phase of development, so de-risking this technology by building a digital solution in the

		first instance offers a nateratial results to accelerate
		first instance offers a potential route to accelerate
		the hydrogen production facility market.
C. Drojecto must include	Mot	Most of the Export Accessors parend that the
	Met	Most of the Expert Assessors agreed that the
participation from a range		Project encompasses the appropriate group of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders to execute its initial phase. However,
		concerns were raised regarding the absence of an
		Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) for
		Hydrogen Production Facilities (HPFs). Given that
		utilising hydrogen production facilities in a flexible
		or curtailable manner significantly differs from
		continuous production, the concern was that
		without significant OEM involvement, the outcome
		may not be commercially viable. This is because
		the OEM may be reluctant to guarantee the
		performance of their technology under such
		operational conditions. Additionally, the Expert
		Assessors noted concerns about the lack of
		sponsorship from other Distribution Network
		Operators (DNOs), which may pose a risk to the
		Project's scalability and rollout.
7: Projects must provide	Not Met	The Expert Assessors do not consider the Project to
value for money and be		have met this Eligibility Criterion because they
costed competitively.		have significant concerns regarding the Project's
		approach to the risk to scalability and roll-out of
		the first phase of the Beta-stage proposals which
		refers to this specific Application. The Expert
		Assessors noted that the digital twin development
		costs are substantially higher than industry norms.
		The day rates for development partner,
		Hydrogenus, were also high. The subcontractor
		costs associated with the development of the

secured at the time of the Application. Addition	
the time allocated for the Lead Network Projec	ally,
	t
Manager was considered excessive. The Exper-	:
Assessors also observed that some planned we	ork
for the first phase might offer no consumer be	nefit
unless the second phase is funded, indicating	
unnecessary speculative spending. The Expert	
Assessors recommended that the Application c	ould
have been enhanced through the downsizing o	f the
of the first phase proposal, to focus solely on	
essential tasks prior to Hydrogen Production	
Facility site selection and to reallocate tasks from	om
the first phase to the second to minimise	
speculative spending in anticipation of a poten	tial
successful second phase proposal.	
8: Projects must be well Met The Expert Assessors agree that the Project	
thought through and	and
have a reduct	
methodology so that they	UIES
and responsibilities, and a weil-developed lisk	ادد
are capable of register. However, it was noted that the propo	sai
manner	on
preliminary examination of (i) validus connection	
and the HDE system, and (iii) the technical care	cies bility
of Hydrogon Dreduction Cosilities to provide	Dility
or myurogen Production Facilities to provide	<b>c</b>
auditional system services. Such consideration	5
would further reinforce the methodology and	ad
with asfruers development and testing	eu
with software development and testing.	

### **DO NOT FUND**

The Expert Assessors have not recommended this for funding as it has not met all the Eligibility Criteria. Although the Project was considered to demonstrate innovation, novelty, and risk, and present a robust and well-thought-through methodology, the Expert Assessors raised concerns about the net benefit to electricity and gas consumers as well as the value for money presented in this Application. They acknowledged that the Project effectively addressed the Innovation Challenge by articulating the comprehensive system problem of flexible hydrogen connection to the electricity network and understanding the implications of flexibility both in front of and behind the meter. The methodology was praised for its clear Project plan, defined roles and responsibilities, and well-developed risk register. However, concerns were raised about the absence of an Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) for hydrogen production facilities, which could affect commercial viability and technology performance under flexible operational conditions, as well as the lack of sponsorship from other Distribution Network Operator (DNO) partners, which poses risks to scalability and rollout. The Expert Assessors also noted concerns with the approach to the first phase of the Beta-stage proposals referring to this specific Application, including excessive costs for digital twin development, high daily rates for the development partner Hydrogenus, and excessive time allocated for the Lead Network's Project manager. Additionally, there were concerns about speculative spending, with some planned work for the first phase potentially offering no consumer benefit without second phase funding. While the proposal was seen as meeting the Innovation Challenge, the Expert Assessors felt it could be strengthened with quantifiable evidence and noted a risk that it might lean more towards addressing hydrogen supply chain needs rather than focusing purely on network innovation. They recommended downsizing the proposal, concentrating on essential tasks prior to HPF site selection, and reallocating tasks to the second phase to minimise speculative spending.

### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

### DO NOT FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and have not approved funding. Ofgem agrees that the Project has not met Eligibility Criteria 2 and 7 because there was insufficient evidence to justify

the value for money in creating a digital twin environment ahead of a live demonstrator trial, and how this proposition alone could create a scalable solution for other DNOs and their networks. The lack of consideration for end users of hydrogen in the Project Application, and how this will inform the optimum usage and location of these hydrogen production facilities is also a concern.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

### 9 SIF Beta Phase – [Accelerating decarbonisation of major energy demands] - Summary

This section covers the assessment of Round 2 Beta Phase Applications received into the 'Accelerating decarbonisation of major energy demands' Innovation Challenge.

For the Beta Phase, 8 Applications were submitted to Innovate UK through the Innovation Funding Service (IFS) portal by the closing deadline of 22 May 2024 and are listed below.

Project reference number	Project name	Funding licensee	Total Project costs (£)	Total Project contributio n (£)	Total SIF Funding requested (£)	Expert Assessors Recommended for funding (Yes/No)	Ofgem Decision for funding (Yes/No)
10117383	Flexible Railway Energy Hubs	SP Transmission PLC	£ 11,081,020	£ 2,824,404	£ 8,256,616	Yes	Yes
10120244	Planning Regional Infrastructure in a Digital Environment	National Grid Electricity Distribution PLC	£ 4,148,195	£ 414,795	£ 3,733,400	Yes	Yes
10120715	Inform	Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Limited	£ 2,220,656	£ 231,409	£ 1,989,247	Yes	No
10123810	Local Energy Oxfordshire -	Southern Electric	£ 10,393,939	£ 1,142,008	£ 9,251,931	Yes	No

	Neighbourhood s (LEO-N) Beta Phase	Power Distribution PLC					
10127928	Heat Risers	UKPN (Operations) Limited	£ 4,425,860	£ 442,586	£ 3,983,274	No	No
10127929	Park & Flex	UKPN (Operations) Limited	£ 5,255,649	£ 525,566	£ 4,730,083	No	No
10127930	Watt Heat	UKPN (Operations) Limited	£ 11,638,633	£ 1,752,194	£ 9,886,439	No	No
10127932	Heatropolis	UKPN (Operations) Limited	£ 11,798,614	£ 2,054,994	£ 9,743,620	Yes	Yes

# 10 Expert Assessors Recommendations [Accelerating decarbonisation of major energy demands]

### 10.1.1 10117383, Flexible Railway Energy Hubs

### **Submitted Project description**

Flexible Railway Energy Hubs will demonstrate a transformative approach to accelerate the decarbonisation of the single largest electricity consumer, Network Rail. An Energy Hub is a modular microgrid solution that integrates batteries and local renewable energy with the rail traction network. By transforming the railway into a flexible electricity consumer, the Project generates benefits to the electricity network and consumers by reducing wind curtailment expenses via flexibility services and reducing engineering disturbances. The Project duration is 5 years and requests £8.3m SIF funding, partners contributing £2.8m in-kind, predicting £2.1b cumulative whole life benefits through national rollout.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not	Additional Justification
	Met	
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to have
the Innovation Challenge		addressed the Innovation Challenge by improving the
set by Ofgem.		understanding of trade-offs between increasing
		resilience, robustness and the cost implications and
		consumer trust and acceptability in the context of a
		net zero transition because it explores flexibility and
		demand side management for large electricity
		consumers via rail decarbonisation. The Expert
		Assessors also considered this Project to have
		addressed the Innovation Challenge because the
		successful development and deployment of digital and
		control technologies will be instrumental to delivering
		a roadmap to electrify and decarbonise transportation

		across the UK while helping achieve lower consumer
		costs.
2: Projects must have	Mot	The Expert Accessors considered this Project to have
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this project to have
		clearly identified potential to deliver a flet benefit to
to deliver a net benefit to		electricity consumers because the Project leverages
gas or electricity		energy storage to improve the resilience of a large
consumers		electricity consumer (Network Rail), reduce grid
		investment cost, take advantage of renewable
		energy, and reduce curtailment. The Expert Assessors
		also noted that the Project will benefit electricity
		consumers through the development of a new model
		for flexibility and ancillary services.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it is the first
		Application involving rail and microgrids. The Project
		is examining tertiary control of a rail-related local
		energy system which is innovative.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project to
undermine the		be likely to undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets because of the commitment to
competitive markets.		open procurement and commitment to disseminate
		the results openly. Additionally, the Expert Assessors
		noted the Project's proactive engagement with
		markets and potential suppliers to understand the
		landscape of the market and viability of rolling out
		the Project at a larger scale.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative, novel and risky because it is one of the
risky.		first of its kind in Europe to explore the
		technoeconomic viability and scalability of Battery

		Energy Storage System (RESS) for high demand
		Energy Storage System (BESS) for high-demand
		national infrastructure networks, such as Network
		Rail. It also explores operational business models for
		Network Rail in future for Energy Hub operation (e.g.,
		make vs buy).
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project Partners
participation from a range		to include participation from a range of stakeholders
of stakeholders.		including technical developers, utility customers,
		academic institutions, and network operators.
		Additionally, the Project consortium provided good
		explanation of the role of each Project Partner and
		key stakeholders. The Expert Assessors also noted
		that the stakeholder management is outlined clearly,
		and the consortium showed themselves to be credible
		and competent for the work.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
7: Projects must provide value for money and be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to provide resilience within the Project by having a
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to provide resilience within the Project by having a buffer using upper estimates of staff requirements to
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to provide resilience within the Project by having a buffer using upper estimates of staff requirements to account for this being a high growth sector and the
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to provide resilience within the Project by having a buffer using upper estimates of staff requirements to account for this being a high growth sector and the scope for staff attrition.
7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to provide resilience within the Project by having a buffer using upper estimates of staff requirements to account for this being a high growth sector and the scope for staff attrition.
<ul> <li>7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.</li> <li>8: Projects must be well</li> </ul>	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to provide resilience within the Project by having a buffer using upper estimates of staff requirements to account for this being a high growth sector and the scope for staff attrition.
<ul> <li>7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.</li> <li>8: Projects must be well thought through and have</li> </ul>	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to provide resilience within the Project by having a buffer using upper estimates of staff requirements to account for this being a high growth sector and the scope for staff attrition. The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it
<ul> <li>7: Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.</li> <li>8: Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so</li> </ul>	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be likely to deliver value for money and to be costed competitively because the Project's costs are balanced across the Project Partners and offer sufficient contributions as well the potential for benefits. The Expert Assessors also noted that the consortium justified the relatively high labour cost to provide resilience within the Project by having a buffer using upper estimates of staff requirements to account for this being a high growth sector and the scope for staff attrition. The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence that it will be capable of progressing in a timely manner

progressing	in	а	timely
manner.			

leads were identified against achievable timelines for engineering and execution.

### **Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors agree that the Project is aligned with the Innovation Challenge because it tries to address rail decarbonisation, flexibility and demand side management of large electricity consumers. The Expert Assessors considered Flexible Railway Energy Hubs to be a well-structured Project. The Project has clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit and improve the resilience of a large electricity consumer such as Network Rail. The Expert Assessors noted that the Project had a good approach to open procurement, commitment to disseminate the results openly and a proactive engagement with markets/ suppliers. The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be delivering value for money and be costed competitively.

### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approves this Project for funding. The Project's innovation lies in market innovation, tertiary control of a rail-related local energy system and different roles of large electricity consumer such as Network Rail in future as energy flexibility asset operator. The Project brings clear benefit as it leverages energy storage to improve the resilience of a large electricity consumer (Network Rail), reduce grid investment costs, take advantage of renewable energy and reduce curtailment. Ofgem also agrees with the Expert Assessors that there is an opportunity for this Project to be broadly discussed beyond energy network and potentially influence the regulatory landscape involving other critical national infrastructure and ownership and management of energy flexibility assets and services.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

### 10.1.2 10120244, Planning Regional Infrastructure in a Digital

### Environment

### **Submitted Project description**

Planning Regional Infrastructure in a Digital Environment (PRIDE) combines novel governance structures with a cutting-edge digital tool that lets local authorities, energy networks and regional stakeholders collaborate to deliver local and regional decarbonisation ambitions. The digital tool supports local authorities and networks to quickly and cost-effectively share detailed information to inform planning and investment activities. The governance structures then bring together local and regional stakeholders, informed by data in the digital tool, to work together to make more informed strategic decisions, accelerating net zero delivery.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		developing technical, organisational and
		commercial innovation including using novel
		multi-energy system configurations for increasing
		system resilience because it creates an innovative
		tool for networks to assist with local area planning
		alongside integrated governance structures for
		regional actors and institutions to strategically
		coordinate network developments.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have clearly identified a potential to deliver a net

N/A

to deliver a net benefit to		benefit to gas and electricity consumers because
gas or electricity		the tool will help to identify correct network
consumers		investment to enabling local areas to better
		define local decarbonisation solutions for local
		benefit.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it uses data
		in ways that have not been used before and it
		would enable more locally responsive, cost-
		effective distribution system operator (DSO)
		flexibility services as well as help to identify cost-
		saving alternatives to network reinforcement.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
undermine the		to be likely to undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets because it aims to enhance
competitive markets.		the range, local responsiveness, and accessibility
		of digital tools for regional-scale whole systems
		planning available to organisations across sectors
		which will support markets. The regional system
		planner and novel institutional governance will
		likely require input and potentially approval from
		Ofgem for beyond Beta rollout, including
		assurance that competitive markets will be
		protected or improved.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative and risky because it aims to provide a
risky.		digital toll and suitable cross-sector governance
		structures to enable a universal/GB-wide shift to
		integrated local/regional whole system planning
		and optimised investment to accelerate

		decarbonisation to integrate data and scenarios
		across multiple sectors of planning, and
		investment.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders because it includes the distribution
		network, system operator, local authorities, and
		innovators with capabilities in building digital
		tools.
		It was noted that the Project should continue to
		engage with other Projects and initiatives such as
		Powering Wales Renewably, the Virtual Energy
		System, and the Regional Energy System Plan
		framework that are being developed within the
		SIF portfolio or through other mechanisms.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
value for money and be		likely to deliver value for money and to be costed
costed competitively.		competitively because the Project has a
		mechanism to deliver significant benefits to
		energy system operators and through them to
		consumers via improved planning and
		coordination. The costs of Project Partners are
		assessed to be market competitive given the
		required skills and expertise.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and have		have a robust methodology which gives
a robust methodology so		confidence that it will be capable of progressing in
that they are capable of		a timely manner because the Project presented a
		good, clear and well-structured plan and Project
		management documentation, whilst having a

### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project to effectively address the Innovation Challenge by creating a novel tool that aids local area planning and integrates regional governance for network coordination. They recognised its potential to benefit gas and electricity consumers by improving investment decisions and supporting local decarbonisation solutions. The Project's innovative use of data for cost-effective distribution system operator services and identifying alternatives to network reinforcement was highlighted, with assurance that it does not undermine competitive markets but rather enhances digital tools for regional planning. The Project's ambition and integration across multiple sectors were seen as innovative yet risky, though it includes a diverse range of stakeholders and engages with related initiatives. The Project was also found to deliver value for money, with competitive costs and a robust methodology ensuring timely progress.

### Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

### FUND

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors and approves this Project for funding. Ofgem agrees that the Project's innovation lies in a novel tool to aid local area planning and integrating regional governance for network coordination. The use of data for cost-effective distribution system operator services and identifying alternatives to network reinforcement was highlighted, due to the enhancement of digital tools used for regional planning.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

As part of the Quarterly Review meetings, the Project team must provide an explanation and assessment of the resource intensity and feasibility of manually gathered local data on current and planned individual local energy Projects. This includes determining who will be responsible for collecting this data and who will enter it into the digital tool, distinguishing this from buildinglevel modelled data and zonal modelling.

As part of the Quarterly Review meetings, the Project team must provide a clear plan for the optimal governance and ownership structure of the PRIDE digital tool post Beta Phase. This plan should be a key Project output, with progress updates presented at each quarterly review meeting.

### 10.1.3 10120715, Inform

### **Submitted Project description**

The Inform Beta proposal is to develop a self-serve online connection tool for HV sites wishing to decarbonise their heating systems through electrification. It will include innovative optioneering functionality, considering how energy efficiency measures and peak load shifting (for example through on-site energy storage) can be used to reduce necessary network reinforcement to facilitate a connection. This will remove barriers to decarbonisation by suggesting ways to reduce connection costs and decrease connection times. The tool will cover the entirety of Northern Powergrid's licence areas, be free to use, and available for HV customers to access from Northern Powergrid's website.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge by
set by Ofgem.		developing technical, organisational and
		commercial innovation including using novel multi-
		energy system configurations for increasing system
		resilience because it addresses a core issue for

		energy networks relating to improving the
		connection process and it improves services for
		large users.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have identified a clear benefit for electricity
to deliver a net benefit to		consumers both through reducing the costs
gas or electricity		associated with the connections process and
consumers		through significantly speeding up the process of
		high voltage users being able to gain indicative
		connections costs.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it is examining
		the streamlining of the process for connections
		design and optioneering. The Expert Assessors did
		raise a concern that a risk may evolve where the
		tool makes recommendations which are later
		disputed and how such a dispute would be
		handled.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
undermine the		to be likely to undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets because there is no equivalent
competitive markets.		solution on the market currently and it does not
		disrupt any market arrangements. However, the
		Expert Assessors did note the need for the Project
		to complete an open data triage of both data and
		software.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative and novel because there is not a readily
risky.		available modelling tool which optimises the
		planning of a site coordinated with the planning of

		a network connection. However, there was some
		concerns over the level of innovation over
		business-as-usual activity.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders for this Eligibility Criterion to be met
		because it showed good engagement from major
		end users. However, the Expert Assessors did note
		significant concerns over the user experience
		testing process and that an expanded list of user
		experience testers would be beneficial for the
		Project.
7: Projecto must provide	Mot	The Expert Accessors considered the Project to be
7. Projects must provide	Met	likely to deliver value for manay and to be costed
value for money and be		likely to deliver value for money and to be costed
costed competitively.		competitively because costing is clear and
		appropriate. However, the Expert Assessors did
		note concerns over the post Beta business-model
		because it was unclear how the tool would be
		funded into the next price control.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and		have a robust methodology which gives confidence
have a robust		that it is capable of progressing in a timely manner
methodology so that they		because the Project methodology is well
are capable of		structured, has a good level of detail presented,
progressing in a timely		and targets full production release through this
manner.		Beta Phase.

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Project is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project to effectively address the Innovation Challenge because it resolves a core issue for energy networks and their connections customers in improving the transparency, functionality, and pace of the connection process. The Expert Assessors did, however, have significant concerns around the approach to user acceptance testing process, the need for an open data triage of both data and software and the post Beta business-model. The Expert Assessors noted a risk that the tool makes recommendations which are later disputed by their customers, and how such a dispute would be handled against liabilities. The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a robust methodology which gives confidence of progressing in a timely manner.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

### DO NOT FUND

Ofgem has not approved funding for this Project. Whilst the Expert Assessors considered all Eligibility Criteria to have been met, Ofgem has noted their concerns on Eligibility Criteria 3, 5 and 7 in particular. Specifically, Ofgem considered that Eligibility Criteria 3, 5 and 7 have not been met as the Project focused on improvements to business-as-usual activity rather than bringing significant innovation to the connections process, and over uncertainty over the post Beta business-model and how it would be funded into the next price control. Ofgem could see the advantages the virtual network engineer would bring to both developers wanting to decarbonise their HV-connected sites and to the networks connections team in reduced time dealing with connections requests. However, in providing advice to customers through the tool on how to decarbonise their sites, Ofgem considered that the Project went beyond the role of a network and therefore went outside the networks focus of the SIF.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

### 10.1.4 10123810, Local Energy Oxfordshire – Neighbourhoods (LEO-N) Beta Phase

### **Submitted Project description**

Decarbonising the major energy demands of heat and transport at the grid-edge is critical to achieving net-zero. This presents a complex challenge to DNOs, Local authorities, communities and householders. Currently a "delivery gap" exists between strategic policy and tactical delivery which is slowing the transition to net zero. LEO-N addresses this gap through four interlinked innovations including the Grid Edge Coordinator role along with cross-organisational governance structures, digital tools and new services required to deliver a cost-effective, accelerated net-zero transition at the grid-edge. This will increase community engagement in the energy system, whilst allowing DNO/DSOs to access additional benefits.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge because
set by Ofgem.		it seeks to accelerate neighbourhood-scale
		decarbonisation through four innovations - digital
		mapping tools, a grid edge controller, integrated
		governance at a hyperlocal level and smart
		retrofit offers for homes. If successful, the
		Project will deliver tangible benefits supporting
		network transition to Net Zero.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
to deliver a net benefit to		benefit to gas and electricity consumers because
gas or electricity		the Project will result in lower network integration
consumers		and reinforcement costs than the counterfactual,
		quicker connections, and the ability, at

		community level, to contribute to network
		flexibility needs.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because although it
		builds on previous work (LEO_LEO-N Alpha) it
		links existing ton-down planning tools with new
		naighbourbood bettem up tools. The Project is
		neighbourhood bottom-up tools. The Project is
		complementary to other Projects that are
		ongoing, and LEO-N fills a gap that will not be
		filled by the Regional Energy Strategic Planners
		(RESP) but will feed into Local Area Energy Plans
		(LAEP) and provide inputs to RESP.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
undermine the		to be likely to undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets because it should
competitive markets		significantly reduce the costs of delivering LAEPs
competitive markets.		which the delivery of LAEPS, would provide a
		beset to the competitiveness of this market. The
		boost to the competitiveness of this market. The
		tools and insights produced should be available
		across the industry and DNOs and other
		stakeholders will be free to select alternative
		suppliers.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative because it aims to link DNO/DSOs with
risky.		Local Authorities and community groups to
		increase efficiency in network planning and
		operations. This will challenge the way in which
		DNOs operate today and provides a risk as new
		governance structures and models will be
		required and poods to be agreed with other
		required and needs to be agreed with other

		DNOs/DSOs, as well as the engagement of new
		community led groups.
C. Designate second in shade	Mark	
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders for this Eligibility Criterion to be met
		because Partners are a balanced representation of
		interests and capabilities, and wider outreach and
		engagement is clearly identified, linking a DNO
		with multiple levels of Local Authority, as well as
		with community groups and individual consumers.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
value for money and be		delivering value for money and to be costed
costed competitively.		competitively because for a four-year Project with
		the identified outputs, the costs appear to be very
		competitive, and the funding across partners and
		work packages have been set out clearly and are
		reasonable given the scale of the Project.
		However, the Expert Assessors raised concerns
		about some of the day rate costs and further
		commented that the transparency of value for
		money for the contractors is low.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and		have a robust methodology which gives
have a robust		confidence that it will be capable of progressing in
methodology so that they		a timely manner because the Project has a robust
are capable of		governance approach in place and the Gantt chart
progressing in a timely		and work package structure demonstrate good
manner.		planning. This gives confidence the Project will be
		delivered successfully.

#### FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has met the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is recommended for funding. The Expert Assessors found the Project to effectively address the Innovation Challenge because it seeks to accelerate neighbourhood-scale decarbonisation through four innovations - digital mapping tools, a grid edge controller, integrated governance at a hyperlocal level and smart retrofit offers for homes. The Expert Assessors did however raise significant concerns over the replicability of the Project outside the Oxfordshire region and identified that significant ongoing costs are required to deliver the benefits. Project specific conditions have been recommended around dissemination and training outside Oxfordshire to ensure replicability and milestones to be introduced to ensure buy-in from other DNOs.

### Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

### **DO NOT FUND**

Ofgem disagrees with the Expert Assessors that the Project should be funded. Ofgem does not agree that the Project has met all the Eligibility Criteria. Specifically, Ofgem considered that Eligibility Criterion 2 had not been met because the Application has not demonstrated how the Project is widely replicable outside the Oxford region, given the large amount of investment required from the Project. Ofgem further considered that the Project has failed to meet Eligibility Criterion 7 due to the significant and ongoing upfront costs required before the benefits were realised as presented in the cost benefit analysis. Ofgem did see that the Project had potential to deliver benefits and commended the concept of the grid edge coordinator concept as well as the hyper local Local Area Energy Plans at primary substation level as a huge potential benefit to local energy. Ofgem felt that there may be more appropriate funding streams potentially through the DESNZ Local Energy Team or the Local Power Plan as part of newly formed British Energy to take the Project forward. Ofgem felt the Project could benefit from better definition on how replicability will be achieved, and upfront costs met. N/A

### 10.1.5 10127928, Heat Risers

#### **Submitted Project description**

Multiple occupancy buildings (MOBs) make up a quarter of dwellings in UK Power Networks' areas. That's more than one million households in need of a sustainable, cost-effective pathway to a low carbon home. Heat Risers aims to overcome specific connection barriers hindering heat decarbonisation in these buildings. By developing and testing a Pre-Application Support tool, it seeks to assist building owners in selecting the most cost-effective and sustainable heat solution. Additionally, the Project explores alternative Building Network Operator (BNO) business models to alleviate financial burdens on MOBs facing higher connection costs.

Eligibility Criteria	Met / Not	Additional Justification
	Met	
1: Projects must	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to have
address the		addressed the Innovation Challenge because it aims to
Innovation Challenge		help the challenge of integrated planning for decarbonising
set by Ofgem.		heat to reduce costs and timescales for transition in this
		sector. The Expert Assessors noted that there was value in
		a decision support tool to help select the best approach to
		building decarbonisation would be useful for building
		owners and it could have some flow on benefit to the
		network.
2: Projects must have	Not Met	The Project was not considered by the Expert Assessors to
clearly identified		have met this Eligibility Criterion because it has not clearly
potential to deliver a		identified a potential to deliver a net benefit to electricity

net benefit to gas or		consumers. While there could be value in a decision
electricity consumers		support tool to help select the best approach to building
		decarbonisation, it would need input from a wider range of
		stakeholders to be fit for purpose. The Expert Assessors
		considered that the tool being proposed by the Project
		team could only make relatively small improvements to
		the connections process and would therefore be unlikely to
		deliver on the benefits detailed in the Application. The
		network benefits to be derived were therefore considered
		insufficient for the Eligibility Criterion to be met.
2. Duois sta must	Not most	The Duringt was not considered by the Evrout Assessments
3: Projects must	Not met	The Project was not considered by the Expert Assessors to
Involve network		Involve network innovation. The tool proposed, while
innovation.		having the potential to provide some benefit to the
		Networks if delivered and built effectively, would see the
		primary benefits in respect of choice of decarbonisation
		strategy for the building(s) be realised more by building
		owners than the Network. The Expert Assessors
		considered that the solution for this type of tool would
		need to be better suited around policy and financing for
		solutions for buildings, and that the Networks are not the
		most appropriate bodies to take this forward.
4. Projects must not	Not Met	The Expert Assessors were not satisfied that the Project
undermine the		would not undermine the development of competitive
development of		markets. The Expert Assessors, while in agreement that a
		nautral facilitator to advise building owners on
competitive markets.		dependenciation colutions would be useful sensidered that
		decarbonisation solutions would be useful, considered that
		a tool which delivered insufficient or incomplete advice on
		decarbonisation solutions could undermine the
		development of competitive markets.
1	1	

5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
innovative, novel		innovative and risky because it is attempting to find ways
and/or risky.		to support Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs) being
		transitioned to utilising low carbon energy sources for
		heating. The innovation is looking at this specific group,
		developing an evidenced decision support tool and
		encouraging policy change to reduce the barriers to this
		sector transitioning at pace and this is not a tool which
		currently exists. There is clear risk attached to developing
		this type of tool, due to the large margin for error and
		challenges associated with obtaining information about
		buildings and many variables which go into a building
		decarbonisation decision.
6: Projects must	Not Mot	The Expert Assessors did not consider the Project Partners
include participation	NOT MEL	to be sufficient for the Project because it is not clear that
from a range of		the Project Partners have engaged sufficient expertise in
		building operators or owners. Additionally, the Expert
stakenoluers.		Accessors commented that technology providers were
		amitted from the stakeholder anaggement and there was
		a lack of clarity about the lovel of engagement from the
		topopoly according and councils montioned in the
		Application. The Expert Accessors concluded that the
		Application. The Expert Assessors concluded that the
		project, being ambitious in scope, would need greater
		stakeholder engagement to meet the aspirations intended.
7: Projects must	Not Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project would not
provide value for		deliver value for money and be costed competitively, due
money and be costed		to the risk that the tool would not be able to provide the
competitively.		benefits outlined in the Application and therefore prove a
		return on investment for the consumer. Additionally, the
		Expert Assessors considered there was insufficient
		explanation of how the tool would be maintained and how

		ongoing costs associated with use and maintenance of the
		tool would be managed.
8: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to have a
well thought through		robust methodology which gives confidence to the Expert
and have a robust		Assessors that it will be capable of progressing in a timely
methodology so that		manner because it has been broken down into an
they are capable of		appropriate set of work packages, with reasonable
progressing in a		granularity on the tasks within each work package.
timely manner.		

### DO NOT FUND

This Project did not meet all the Eligibility Criteria and the Expert Assessors did not recommend the Project for funding. The Expert Assessors considered the tool to be an innovative idea and commented that the problem of MOB decarbonisation and the understanding of connection costs to be generally worth solving but raised concerns that a Project of this nature should not be led by a Network. The Expert Assessors noted that if such a decision support tool were to help select the best approach to building decarbonisation was to be valuable, it would need to be more extensive than what was proposed by the Application, with ongoing maintenance and stakeholder input built into the solution. The Project, while being innovative novel and risky and having the potential to benefit consumers, did not demonstrate a sufficiently clear benefit.

### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

### Do Not FUND

The Expert Assessors' have made the assessment that the Project does not meet Eligibility Criteria 2, 4, 5 and 7. Ofgem agrees on the basis that while decarbonisation of MOBs poses a significant challenge and is a problem worth solving, the Networks are not the best placed entity to administer the type of tool proposed by the Application and that the risks surrounding delivery and execution outweighed the potential network benefits. N/A

### 10.1.6 10127929, Park & Flex

### Submitted Project description

Up to 4.3GW of flexible capacity is expected to be available in carparks across UKPN's area by 2050. Park & Flex aims to unlock flexibility from bi-directional charging of EVs in carparks, an untapped resource, to reduce system costs and enable faster connection of low carbon technology.

This first-of-a-kind trial will implement real-world vehicle-2-everything (V2X) propositions and incentives with carpark operators to demonstrate the level of customer engagement that can be achieved; seek to onboard a long and mid-stay carparks to demonstrate wider applicability; and show the way forward to help catalyse a changing relationship between drivers and their vehicles.

Eligibility Criterion	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge because
set by Ofgem.		it contributes to the provision of flexibility services
		in a novel way and utilises aggregation of vehicle
		to grid services to aid in accelerating
		decarbonisation of major energy demands.
		However, the Expert Assessors noted that, whilst
		the Project has addressed the Innovation
		Challenge, it had only done so marginally.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have identified a clear net benefit for electricity
to deliver a net benefit to		consumers in two ways. The first is the additional

gas or electricity		revenue generated by consumers who have parked
consumers		their cars at the airport. The second is the marginal
		network benefit offered by limited flexibility
		provision.
		There is potential for this approach to offer benefit
		at scale as potentially gigawatts of batteries could
		be parked in airport carparks, but even if that were
		to happen, it would take a long time for that scale
		to be realised. Additionally, most V2X flexibility will
		be provided via cars parked at home where they
		will spend most time parked. The amount of time a
		car spends in an airport carpark over its lifetime
		comparatively is much smaller.
		If the Project was looking at the benefits from
		aggregating the flexibility V2X-compatible cars
		parked at home could offer the network, the
		potential consumer benefit would be much larger.
3: Projects must involve	Not Met	The case for market innovation came across much
network innovation.		more strongly than network innovation and can be
		seen as a sign of the Project's successes during its
		Discovery and Alpha stages. The Project is aimed
		at improving market participation for V2X and
		assessing market barriers. The Project is more
		orientated to market innovation for V2X than
		network innovation. Whilst there is value in the
		Project conception there is not a strong network
		element.
		The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
		enhance local system (i.e., airport) flexibility rather
		than network flexibility. Any DSO benefits received

ppens f ill
ppens if <i>i</i> ill
ppens )f /ill
of vill
vill
an
raction
roject
of
bark
Y
to be
of its
ks at
rcially
le to
ate,
usiness
en. By
tive,
to

		any airport operator looking to invest in the
		Project.
		The Expert Assessors concluded that the risks
		identified were risks to carpark operators and
		airports, with limited network risk.
6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders. The Project has included participation
		from a distribution network (LIKPN) a party which
		understands facilities of large sites with large
		corportes (LIKPN Sorvices) - 2 V/2X charging
		carpairs (UKFN Services), a V2A charging
		flex merilists (Periliaca) and an analyst of energy and
		nex markets (Baringa).
		However, it was noted that key stakeholders were
		missing as partners. The Project failed to secure an
		airport partner ahead of the Beta Application
		submission.
		The Project would be stronger with involvement of
		a carpark operator, a user research specialist, and
		a flex market aggregator/optimiser.
7: Projects must provide	Not Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider the Project
value for money and be		to be likely to deliver value for money and to be
, costed competitively.		costed competitively.
		The funding requested is expensive given so few
		charging points are being installed. In particular,
		the Expert Assessors were unclear as to why UKPN
		Services was requesting so much, when the Expert
		Assessors estimated the 8 charging points being

		Assessors were consequently unable to conclude
		the Project is value for money due to opacity
		around UKPN Services costs.
		Additionally, the Expert Assessor suggested that
		this Project does not build on significant
		investment in previous Projects which have proven
		the concept that V2X provides network value.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and		have a robust methodology which gives confidence
have a robust		that it will be capable of progressing in a timely
methodology so that they		manner.
are capable of		The Project plan was clear and well presented. The
progressing in a timely		The Project plan was clear and wen presented. The
manner.		Expert Assessors only concern was the amount of
		money being requested ahead of the Stage Gate,
		exposing consumers to greater risk due to the
		upfront investment of consumer funds.

### DO NOT FUND

The Expert Assessors agree that the Project has not met all the Eligibility Criteria, and that this Application is not recommended for funding.

Whilst there is a huge value in scaling V2X services across the UK, the business model being proven is for commercial operators. The limited amount of the value that is returned to consumers via lower connection costs and lower bills through greater network flexibility gave the Expert Assessors cause for concern.

The Expert Assessors did not see how the Project was generating network innovation above that of previous Projects and commented that the Project is taking place too early in the roll out of electric vehicles. This means that in its current form, the Project may not be scalable for many years. This creates risk due to the rapid evolution of technology compared to the time taken for the business model to be proven and become attractive. The Assessors considered that this may have contributed to the Project's inability to secure an airport carpark operator.

Additionally, the Project's focus on airport car parking means only a marginal amount of the potential flexibility V2X could provide the network is being assessed as part of the business model. The Project would potentially provide much greater benefits if the value of V2X cars parked at home was being included.

The Expert Assessors strongly recommended the Project team re-apply for funding taking on board the feedback above. Alternatively, they suggested that the Project remain in its current form and seek funding outside of SIF.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

### **DO NOT FUND**

Ofgem agrees with the Expert Assessors that the project does not meet all the Eligibility Criteria. The Expert Assessors determined that the Project did not meet Eligibility Criterion 3 (Projects must involve network innovation) and Eligibility Criterion 7 (Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively). Ofgem agrees on the basis that the Project innovation is more focused on system innovation than network innovation. Additionally, the Project was not seen to be costed competitively as the amount of funding requested by UKPN Services was too high considering that only 8 charge points were to be installed.

### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

### 10.1.7 10127930, Watt Heat

### **Submitted Project description**
Watt Heat will demonstrate the value of thermal storage when coupled to electrified heating systems to unlock heating flexibility within consumers' homes. It will develop business models and aggregation platforms to support commercial propositions to ensure value is shared to customers as asset-owners, and support network efficiency and constraint management to drive a low-cost Net Zero transition.

The trial will test a range of heating technologies to understand flexibility potential, generate a rich dataset of load profiles for different technologies, tariffs, and dwelling types to support policy makers, networks and the wider energy industry accelerate the uptake of low-carbon heating.

Eligibility Criterion	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge because
set by Ofgem.		it has the potential to demonstrate the benefits of
		coordinating flexible heat assets to reduce
		network costs and increase consumer access to
		DSO and ESO markets. The proposed solution
		aims to address peak demand issues traditionally
		associated with electrified heat. This is aligned
		with the aims of the Innovation Challenge.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
to deliver a net benefit to		benefit to electricity consumers because it is
gas or electricity		looking at ways that consumers can store energy
consumers		and have it utilised on the grid at peak time by
		aggregators and suppliers, contributing to savings
		both direct and indirect cost savings. The
		Projected savings for customers on a Time of Use
		(ToU) Tariff and customers with a zero emissions
		Boiler are potentially achievable, however the

		Expert Assessors commented that the benefits
		case was weakened by the long payback period
		for the Project, and a higher cost project overall
		with lower confidence in the benefits being
		realised.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it is trialling
		the development of new products to enable
		consumers to store thermal energy on behalf of
		the network operators. It is examining the ability
		of domestic heat technologies to participate in
		ESO and DSO value streams. This involves the
		examination of how market signals can be utilised
		to generate predictable and practical responses
		from thermal demand to mitigate the impact of
		electrified heat on peak demand.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
undermine the		to be likely to undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets because it is seeking to
competitive markets.		source the data insights that will unlock the
		barriers to the inclusion of low carbon heat
		technologies in DSO and ESO value streams. This
		is not considered to undermine the development
		of competitive markets because this Project will
		seek to address current market barriers of
		inclusion of heat technologies in DSO and ESO
		value streams while promoting the adoption of
		standards and licensing for DSR providers.

5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project
innovative, novel and/or		innovative and novel because it seeking to deploy
risky.		new techniques to unlock the flexibility of
		electrified heat through the mass integration of
		thermal storage products. The Expert Assessors
		noted that these technologies are yet to be
		recognised in traditional DSO and ESO flexibility
		markets due to a lack of baseline data relating to
		response and performance.
6: Projects must include	Not Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
participation from a range		to include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders. The Expert Assessors found that
		while there was demonstration that there was an
		appropriate set of skills in the Project team to
		deliver the Project, the Project was unable to
		demonstrate how the ESO would be effectively
		brought in. Additionally, the Expert Assessors
		considered that there could have been further
		articulation of the plans for consumer
		involvement and how trial participation and
		engagement would be managed, in terms of the
		roles and responsibilities of the stakeholders
		engaged in these areas that would be looking to
		face consumers and shape the trial.
7: Projects must provide	Not Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider the Project
value for money and be		to be likely to deliver value for money or to be
costed competitively.		costed competitively because there was
		insufficient justification of the costs of the work
		packages being delivered by Baringa. Additionally,
		the Expert Assessors considered that there could
		have been further justification for the funding

		request to go towards the aggregation platform,
		as it was unclear why this platform required such
		high development costs. The value for money
		case could have been further strengthened by the
		Project team making clearer the route to market
		and commercialisation journey. Finally, the Expert
		Assessors considered that given the funding of
		non-network assets for this Project to be on the
		higher end for assets such as the Tepeo zero
		emission boiler.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and have		have a robust methodology which gives
a robust methodology so		confidence to the Expert Assessors that it will be
that they are capable of		capable of progressing in a timely manner
progressing in a timely		because it has a clear methodology with clearly
manner.		assigned Project roles. The Expert Assessors were
		satisfied that the milestones and deliverables
		were achievable and sensibly timed, though
		were achievable and sensibly timed, though queried why stage gates at key decision points
		were achievable and sensibly timed, though queried why stage gates at key decision points had not been added to de-risk the Project.

## **Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### DO NOT FUND

Overall, the Expert Assessors did not recommend the Project for funding as not all the Eligibility Criteria were not met. While the Expert Assessors considered that the Project was aligned with the Innovation Challenge, and was innovative, novel and risky, there was insufficient justification provided for the costs of the Project, particularly in relation to the work packages delivered by some Project Partners. The Expert Assessors therefore did not consider that the Project was value for money or costed competitively. Additionally, the Expert Assessors noted that the Application would have benefitted from formal endorsement and buy in from by ESO, and they considered them a key stakeholder who was not sufficiently engaged in the Project. Explanation of how the products developed would fit into their existing suite of ESO/DSO products would have greatly benefitted the Application. This would help to mitigate against duplication of efforts and ensure alignment.

### **Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

#### DO NOT FUND

Ofgem has agreed with the Expert Assessors that this Project should not be funded. The Expert Assessors viewed the Project to not meet Eligibility Criteria 6 ('Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.') and 7 ('Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively'). Ofgem agrees on the basis that the costs associated with work packages need further justification and that the Application would benefit from formal endorsement by ESO to guard against duplication of efforts and ensure alignment.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

N/A

# 10.1.8 10127932, Heatropolis

#### **Submitted Project description**

The operation of low carbon heat networks is poised to transform how we heat homes and buildings as we embrace less reliance on fossil-fuels for heating. Today there is a disconnect between DNO planning and heat network design. Left unmanaged, this affects the planning and operation of both the heat and electricity networks and is ultimately costly for consumers.

Addressing this challenge, Heatropolis is trialling a groundbreaking technical and commercial framework: unlocking better outcomes between heat and electricity networks. Intelligent heat network design and operation will accelerate decarbonisation delivering significant flexibility and load reduction to drive DNO reinforcement cost savings.

Eligibility Criterion	Met / Not Met	Additional Justification
1: Projects must address	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
the Innovation Challenge		have addressed the Innovation Challenge because
set by Ofgem.		it is directed to accelerating decarbonisation of
		heat, while reducing the costs of connecting and
		operating electrical heat loads. The Project
		proposes to do this through development of
		potential solutions for integrating DNO business
		planning with Heat Network design, build and
		operation. Heat networks are known to be heavy
		demand loads and there is international evidence
		to suggest that heat networks can play a
		substantial role in local/regional grid balancing but
		this has not been proven in the GB.
2: Projects must have	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
clearly identified potential		have clearly identified potential to deliver a net
to deliver a net benefit to		benefit to electricity consumers because if it
gas or electricity		succeeds in integrating heat network planning and
consumers		operations into DNO business planning and
		investment, this should result in deferred, reduced
		or avoided costs which should flow down to the
		consumer. The Expert Assessors were satisfied that
		there was identified network level cost savings
		from avoided grid reinforcement costs and
		investment into thermal generating capacity (which
		is increasingly electric, e.g., large-scale heat
		pumps). The Expert Assessors considered that the
		Project could help to align investment with network
		planning as presently heat networks are planned
		independently of distribution network investment.

		In addition, the potential reduction of network
		losses from smoothing peaks in demand, and
		reduction of waste heat from heat networks, the
		Project will also bring forward testing of
		prospective whole system value from further
		thermal storage to improve heat network flexibility,
		which will result in cost reductions for consumers.
3: Projects must involve	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
network innovation.		involve network innovation because it is looking to
		integrate low carbon Heat Network operation and
		cost-benefit analysis with electricity DNO business
		planning and network investment, and
		development of DSO flexibility services and
		contracting. The Expert Assessors agreed that the
		commercial arrangements for flex from heat
		networks is a new area and central to the future of
		networks, and that the Project could provide
		evidence needed by the industry to support greater
		integration of services between two types of
		networks (heat and electricity) which would add
		value to the market and unlock investment.
4: Projects must not	Met	The Expert Assessors did not consider this Project
undermine the		to be likely to undermine the development of
development of		competitive markets, because it is likely to
competitive markets.		enhance markets for heat network design,
		development, operation, digital controls and
		efficiencies at both building and network scales. It
		was noted that there was a route to dissemination,
		with Guidehouse's role being to enable other Heat
		Network Operators and smart control providers to
		participate in future. Overall, the Expert Assessors

		considered that competitive markets should
		therefore gain from opening further commercial
		opportunities to improve whole system efficiencies.
5: Projects must be	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to be
innovative, novel and/or		innovative, novel and risky, because it focuses on
risky.		developing and embedding flexibility services from
		electricity-led heat networks into whole system
		cost-benefit analysis and avoiding the risks that
		electrification of heat results in higher than
		necessary power network reinforcement costs. The
		Project is innovative in terms of the evidence it
		seeks to collect to support new flexibility market
		models. Testing the potential value for DNOs and
		HNOs from such investments entails risk, because
		this is an undeveloped sphere of HNOs in GB,
		requiring new commercial and technical
		instruments, at least some of which may fail. The
		Expert Assessors agreed that risk is formed from a
		gap in current network operations and investment
		planning, which focus on gas or electricity
		networks, but do not yet capture the potential for
		whole system efficiencies, and faster
		decarbonisation, from integration of a third
		network for heating in buildings. The Expert
		Assessors agreed that there are little or no direct
		incentives in current market arrangements for
		HNOs to invest in assets required to maximise
		flexibility in operations and the Project looks to
		innovate to address this gap in the market.

6: Projects must include	Met	The Expert Assessors considered this Project to
participation from a range		include participation from a sufficient range of
of stakeholders.		stakeholders to be met because the Project team,
		being relatively small, includes key actors
		necessary to demonstrate whether Heat Network
		Operators will be able to support network
		operators through unlocking grid balancing services
		and local flexibility. The Expert Assessors
		suggested that the wider stakeholder engagement
		could have been stronger within the Application
		and agreed that wider dissemination would be
		necessary to ensure the Project makes as much
		impact as possible. The Expert Assessors
		suggested the Project would therefore benefit from
		involvement with key government and regulation
		and consumer protection groups (e.g., Heat Trust)
		and social housing providers or local authorities
		with significant social housing assets as these fit
		the third heat network typology.
7: Projects must provide	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to be
value for money and be		likely to deliver value for money and to have been
costed competitively.		costed competitively because the Project addresses
		an under-investigated area of value for customers,
		environment and business from energy demand
		reduction. The Expert Assessors were comfortable
		with the costings and day rates provided and
		commented that the contributions were above the
		required 10% minimum.
8: Projects must be well	Met	The Expert Assessors considered the Project to
thought through and		have a robust methodology which gives confidence
have a robust		that it will be capable of progressing in a timely

methodology so that they	manner because the management structure, tasks,
are capable of	methods and timeline were well articulated in the
progressing in a timely	Application. The Expert Assessors commented on
manner.	the stage gates proposed by the Project which are
	inserted at strategic points to help de-risk the
	Project. The stage gates at year one and two trials
	provides a breakpoint in the evidence gathering
	process and ensures that the Project is curtailed if
	it will not provide a successful outcome or if
	sufficient evidence has been gathered to guarantee
	a successful outcome.

## Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND

The Expert Assessors recommended the Project for funding and all Eligibility Criteria have been met. The Expert Assessors considered the Project to meet the Innovation Challenge because of the focus on heat networks and the integration with DNO business planning with heat network design, build and operation. Consequently, it has potential to secure whole system benefits and cost savings. The Project is viewed as an important demonstration in how to generate data to help unlock investment in heat network technology, in addition to being an opportunity for reduced electricity network reinforcements and heat network efficiencies, with strong prospects for mutual and whole system efficiency improvements. The Expert Assessors considered there to be a strong benefits case, with financial, environmental and market impacts and co-benefits seen as assessed systematically, with reasonable sensitivity analysis included. The Project was considered to have robust Project management, with stage gates positioned at critical points to de-risk the Project.

# Decision from the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

#### FUND

The Expert Assessors recommended the Project for funding and Ofgem agrees with the recommendation. Ofgem finds the Project to be well aligned with the Innovation Challenge of decarbonising major energy system demands and sits within the scope of effectively facilitating managing and integrating multiple demands and demand-side solutions because the Project is focussed on flexible integration of heat networks which poses an opportunity for decarbonisation.

#### **Recommended Project specific conditions**

As part of stage gate 1 (as set out in the Project Plan), the Project must provide a stakeholder engagement plan detailing how it will engage with and enable other Heat Network Operators and smart control providers to participate, as well as how it will engage with and disseminate information to key government bodies, regulators and consumer protection groups (e.g. Heat Trust), and social housing providers or local authorities with significant social housing throughout the Project.